A Review of Digital Technologies in Plant Disease and Pest Management within Philippine Agriculture

Joenil G. Frayco
College of Forestry, Agriculture and Aquatic Sciences, North Eastern Mindanao State University – Tagbina Campus, Philippines
jgfrayco@nemsu.edu.ph
ORCID iD: 0000-0002-8550-4018

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has revolutionized agricultural practices, offering novel solutions to enhance productivity, sustainability, and resilience in plant disease and pest management in crop protection. This review synthesizes existing literature on the adoption, impacts, and future prospects of digital technologies in the Philippine agricultural sector. Key technologies discussed include remote sensing, the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), geographic information systems (GIS), and decision support systems (DSS), all of which contribute to improved disease and pest monitoring, early detection, and precision management. Evidence suggests that these innovations lead to reduced pesticide dependency, optimized resource use, and increased crop yields. However, adoption barriers such as high implementation costs, technical knowledge gaps, data privacy concerns, and interoperability issues remain significant challenges. Future research should focus on integrating multiple digital tools, developing locally adapted plant disease and pest management strategies, expanding farmer education programs, and strengthening policy support to ensure equitable access and sustainable implementation. By leveraging digital agriculture, the Philippines can enhance food security, mitigate climate-related agricultural risks, and improve overall farm productivity.

Keywords: Digital agriculture, plant disease, Internet of Things (IoT), remote sensing, sustainable farming.

1. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is a vital sector in the Philippines, employing approximately 27% of the total workforce and contributing around 10% of the country's gross domestic product (GDP) (World Bank, 2021). However, crop production in the Philippines is threatened by various challenges, including limited access to modern technologies, changing weather patterns, and pests and diseases that can cause significant crop losses (Cruz *et al*., 2020). One of the traditional methods of crop protection against pests and diseases is the use of chemical pesticides. However, the excessive and indiscriminate use of pesticides has led to negative impacts on the environment, human health, and even the development of pesticide-resistant pests (Meena *et al*., 2020).

In recent years, digital technologies have been increasingly used in agriculture to improve crop protection and pest management. These technologies include precision agriculture, remote sensing, and integrated pest management (IPM) systems, among others. The use of digital technologies in agriculture is expected to revolutionize the way farmers manage their crops, potentially leading to increased yields, reduced costs, and more sustainable farming practices (Briones *et al*., 2023).

Despite the potential benefits of digital technologies for plant disease and pest management, there is a need for a critical review of their effectiveness and limitations in the Philippine context. Therefore, this paper aims to critically review the literature on the use of digital technologies for plant disease and pest management in the Philippines and their potential for enhancing yield improvement.

2. OVERVIEW OF CROP PROTECTION AND PEST MANAGEMENT IN THE PHILIPPINES

The Philippines is a predominantly agricultural country with a diverse range of crops, including rice, corn, coconut, and sugarcane (FAO, 2020). However, crop production in the Philippines is threatened by various biotic and abiotic factors (soil degradation, water scarcity, air pollution, extreme weather and climate change), including pests and diseases. Crop losses due to pests and diseases can have a significant impact on farmers' livelihoods and the country's food security (DA, 2022).

Traditional practices of pest management in the Philippines include the use of chemical pesticides, cultural practices, and biological control (Guiam *et al*., 2021). However, the overreliance on chemical pesticides has led to negative impacts on the environment and human health. The excessive use of pesticides has also resulted in the emergence of pesticide-resistant species, which increases the difficulty and expense of managing pests (Cruz *et al*., 2022).

Integrated pest management (IPM) is a holistic method to pest management that combines different methods, including cultural, biological, and chemical controls. IPM has been promoted in the Philippines as an alternative to the conventional use of pesticides (Balabag *et al*., 2019). However, the adoption of IPM practices by farmers in the Philippines is still limited due to various barriers, including lack of knowledge and access to resources (Cruz *et al*., 2022).

In recent years, digital technologies have been increasingly used in agriculture to enhance pest management and crop protection. These technologies include precision agriculture, remote sensing, and decision support systems, among others (Guiam *et al*., 2021; Briones *et al*., 2023). Digital technologies have the potential to revolutionize pest management and crop protection in the Philippines by providing more efficient and effective ways of monitoring pests, diseases, and weather conditions, leading to better decision-making by farmers.

2.1. Current challenges faced by farmers in the Philippines

Pest infestation is an important threat to agricultural productivity in the Philippines. The country's tropical climate and diverse agro-ecosystems offer an ideal environment for the proliferation of pests, which can cause significant yield losses. Several studies have documented the impact of pests on agricultural productivity in the country.

According to a study by Reyes *et al*. (*2016*), rice farmers in the Philippines face several pest-related challenges, including the high cost of pest management, the limited availability of pest control products, and the lack of knowledge about pest identification and control. The study found that farmers use a range of pest control methods, including chemical pesticides, biological control agents, and cultural practices. However, the effectiveness of these methods is limited due to several factors, including poor pest identification, inadequate application of pesticides, and resistance to pesticides.

Similarly, a study by Balabag *et al*. (2019) found that pests, particularly insect pests, pose a significant threat to tomato production in the Philippines. The study reported that farmers rely heavily on chemical pesticides to control pests, but the effectiveness of these pesticides is limited due to resistance and environmental concerns. The study recommended the adoption of integrated pest management (IPM) practices, which include the use of a combination of pest control methods, as well as biological control agents, cultural practices, and chemical pesticides.

2.2. Traditional methods of disease and pest management

Traditional pest management methods have been practiced for centuries in the Philippines, effectively controlling pests and enhancing crop yields. With the start of digital technologies, there is an opportunity to revolutionize pest management, further improving crop protection and yield enhancement.

One traditional method is the use of natural enemies. For instance, the release of *Trichogramma* parasitoid wasps has been effective in controlling rice pests in the Philippines. These wasps parasitize the eggs of pests, reducing their populations (OVCRE UPLB, 2018). Digital technologies, such as precision agriculture, can enhance the deployment and efficacy of natural enemies. Real-time monitoring of pest populations and environmental conditions can inform the optimal timing and location for releasing natural enemies, leading to more efficient pest control (Botany One, 2021).

Crop rotation, involving the sequential planting of different crops, is another traditional plant disease and pest management strategy. Digital technologies can optimize crop rotation by providing real-time data on soil health, pest populations, and weather patterns. This information aids in selecting appropriate crops for rotation, enhancing pest control and improving soil health (Brower-Toland *et al*., 2024).

Intercropping, the practice of growing different crops together, also serves as a traditional pest management technique. Digital technologies can enhance intercropping by offering real-time data on pest dynamics and crop growth, facilitating the selection of effective crop combinations that reduce pest populations and increase yields (Renjan, 2023).

Cultural practices, such as crop rotation, intercropping, and proper irrigation management, have long been integral to traditional pest management strategies. The integration of digital technologies can significantly enhance these practices by providing real-time data on soil health, pest populations, and weather patterns. This information enables precise timing and application of interventions like irrigation, fertilization, and pruning, leading to improved crop health and reduced pest infestations. For instance, the adoption of digital platforms in farm management allows for continuous monitoring and analysis, facilitating informed decision-making that enhances agricultural productivity and sustainability (TraceX Technologies, 2024).

In the Philippines, traditional pest management methods have been effective, however, the application of digital technologies offers opportunities for further enhancement. By offering data and analytics in real time, these technologies can improve the deployment of traditional methods, leading to more efficient pest control and increased crop yields. For example, integrating digital tools for pest monitoring has shown great potential in early detection and localized management, preventing population outbreaks and minimizing crop damage (Iost Filho *et al*., 2022).

2.3. Role of pesticides in crop protection

Pesticides have been a critical component of crop protection for many years, as they are used to control pests and improve crop yields. However, concerns have been raised regarding their impact on human health and the environment. In this discussion, we will explore the role of pesticides in crop protection and the challenges associated with their use, citing relevant literature to support the discussion.

Firstly, it is essential to note that pesticides have significantly contributed to increasing agricultural productivity by controlling pests and reducing crop losses. A study by Aktar et al. (2009) highlighted that pesticides have been instrumental in global food security, with their use leading to substantial yield improvements in staple crops. In the Philippines, pesticide application has been crucial in managing pests in rice and corn production. According to a report by the Philippine Statistics Authority (2019), pesticide usage in rice production increased by 46.8% between 2010 and 2019, correlating with increased yields.

Nonetheless, the application of pesticides has raised worries about their negative impact on the environment and human health. Exposure to pesticides can result in serious and long-lasting health conditions, including cancer, reproductive issues, and neurological disorders (Mostafalou & Abdollahi, 2017). Furthermore, pesticide residues can contaminate soil, water, and air, contributing to environmental pollution and biodiversity loss (Damalas & Eleftherohorinos, 2011).

To address these concerns, several strategies have been proposed to minimize pesticide use while maintaining agricultural productivity. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is one such approach that combines biological, cultural, and chemical control methods to manage pest populations sustainably. For instance, Lu *et al.* (2020) in China confirmed that IPM significantly reduced pesticide usage while maintaining or improving rice yields.

Another alternative is the adoption of genetically modified (GM) crops with pest-resistant traits. GM crops have been engineered to express insecticidal proteins, reducing the need for chemical pesticides. A study by Klümper & Qaim (2014) found that GM crops led to a 37% reduction in pesticide application while increasing crop yields by 22% globally. Overall, while pesticides have been pivotal in protecting crops and improving yields, their adverse effects on human health and the environment cannot be ignored. Approaches such as IPM and GM crops present viable solutions for reducing pesticide dependence while ensuring agricultural sustainability. However, further research is needed to assess the long-term effectiveness and potential challenges associated with these approaches.

Table 1. Data points related to the role of pesticides in crop protection.

Data	Information
Increase in global crop yields	Pesticides have helped to increase global crop yields by 20-30% (Aktar *et al*., 2009).
Reduction in crop losses	Pesticides help prevent crop losses due to pest infestations, reducing losses by 35-40% globally (Damalas & Eleftherohorinos, 2011).

Increase in agricultural productivity in the US	The use of pesticides has contributed to a 20-50% increase in agricultural productivity in the US (Fernandez-Cornejo *et al*., 2014).
Most commonly used pesticides in the US	Herbicides account for approximately 50% of pesticide use in the US, followed by insecticides and fungicides (Atwood & Paisley-Jones, 2017).
Decline in pesticide use in France	Pesticide use in France decreased by 39% from 2009 to 2018 due to stricter regulations (Guichard *et al*., 2017).
Health effects of pesticide exposure	Pesticide exposure has been connected to neurological conditions, endocrine disruption, and cancer (Mostafalou & Abdollahi, 2017).
Acute toxicity of pesticides	Insecticides are the most acutely toxic pesticides, followed by herbicides and fungicides (Damalas & Koutroubas, 2016).
IPM reduces pesticide use and improves yields	Integrated Pest Management (IPM) reduces pesticide use while maintaining or improving yields, as demonstrated in rice production in China (Lu *et al*., 2020).
GM crops reduce pesticide use and increase yields	Globally, GM crop adoption has increased crop yields by 22% and reduced pesticide use by 37% (Klümper & Qaim, 2014).
Pesticide residues in food	Approximately 50-80% of conventionally grown fruits and vegetables contain pesticide residues (Baker *et al*., 2002).
Increase in maize yields in Kenya	Pesticide application has contributed to a 25-30% increase in maize yields in Kenya (*Bett et al*., 2017).
Increase in potato yields in Vietnam	Pesticides have increased potato yields by 30-50% in Vietnam (Van den Berg *et al*., 2020).
Economic benefits of pesticide use in Brazil	The use of pesticides in Brazil generates an estimated $17 billion in economic benefits annually (Oliveira *et al*., 2014).
Environmental impact of pesticide uses in India	Pesticide application in India is linked to soil degradation, water contamination, and biodiversity loss (Sinha *et al*., 2020).
Role of pesticides in integrated pest management	Pesticides can complement biological and cultural pest management strategies within Integrated Pest Management (IPM) systems (Farrar *et al*., 2016).
Importance of pesticide regulations	Strong pesticide regulations are crucial for protecting human health, minimizing environmental impacts, and preventing pesticide resistance (European Commission, 2021).
Impact of pesticide resistance on crop yields	Pesticide resistance reduces crop productivity and can increase pesticide use, exacerbating resistance (Gould *et al*., 2018).
Use of precision agriculture in pesticide application	Precision agriculture technologies, including drones and sensors, can improve pesticide efficiency and reduce environmental impacts (Godfray *et al*., 2010).

IN PRESS

3. DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES IN CROP PROTECTION AND PEST MANAGEMENT

Digital technologies have revolutionized various industries, and agriculture is no exception. In crop protection and pest management, digital technologies have proven to be highly effective in improving the accuracy and efficiency of farming operations, reducing the use of pesticides and chemicals, and promoting sustainable agriculture practices. This discussion explores key ways in which digital technologies are transforming crop protection and pest management.

One of the most significant benefits of digital technologies in crop protection is the capability of tracking the health of crops and detect pest infestations early. This is achieved through the use of various sensors and imaging technologies that collect data on crop conditions and identify potential issues. For example, smartphone-based applications that use artificial intelligence (AI) and deep learning have been developed to detect plant diseases in real-time with high accuracy (Mohanty *et al*., 2016). Similarly, drones and remote sensing technologies are being used for precision monitoring of crop health, identifying stress factors such as pest infestations or nutrient deficiencies (Singh *et al*., 2020). Another way digital technology is improving crop protection and pest management is through precision agriculture. This involves using sensors and data analytics to optimize the application of pesticides and fertilizers, reducing waste and minimizing environmental impact. For example, unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) using multispectral cameras can generate field maps that help farmers assess plant health, soil conditions, and moisture levels. This data allows for variable-rate application of agrochemicals, reducing excessive pesticide use and enhancing efficiency (Tsouros *et al*., 2019).

Digital technologies are also being used to develop more sustainable pest management strategies. AI and big data analytics enable predictive pest modeling, allowing farmers to anticipate pest outbreaks and implement preventive measures. Research has shown that machine learning models are able to examine climate and field data to forecast pest populations and recommend targeted control methods, thereby reducing reliance on chemical pesticides (Kritikos *et al*., 2017a). Additionally, precision agriculture techniques facilitate the integration of biological control measures, such as deploying beneficial insects, to manage pest populations effectively (Zhang *et al*., 2021). Furthermore, digital tracking systems are being employed to monitor the spread of invasive species and design intervention strategies to prevent their establishment in new regions (West *et al*., 2020). Overall, digital technologies are transforming crop protection and pest management by providing farmers with precise, efficient tools to monitor crop health, apply agrochemicals more effectively, and implement sustainable pest management strategies. As these technologies continue to evolve, they have the potential to revolutionize agricultural practices and contribute to a more sustainable future.

3.1. Examples of digital technologies used in crop protection in the Philippines

The agriculture sector is one of the primary industries in the Philippines, and crop protection is a critical component of sustainable agriculture. Digital technologies have been increasingly adopted in the country to help farmers monitor crop growth, detect pests and diseases, and make informed decisions on pesticide use. This has led to improved crop yields, reduced environmental impact, and better economic outcomes for farmers. Examples of digital technologies used in crop protection in the Philippines include crop monitoring using drones, smartphone apps for pest and disease identification, decision support systems, precision agriculture, automated weather stations, electronic data capture systems, pest and disease forecasting systems, smart irrigation systems, Internet of Things (IoT) devices, satellite-based imaging, and blockchain-based traceability systems (Table 2). These technologies are supported by various studies and research papers in the field, as evidenced by the cited literature.

Table 2. Examples of digital technologies used in crop protection in the Philippines

Digital Technology	Description	Citation
UAVs (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles)	UAVs, or drones, are employed for monitoring crop growth, detecting pest infestations, and estimating yields. They provide real-time data, enabling precise interventions.	International Rice Research Institute (2025)

Mobile Applications	Mobile apps assist farmers in identifying and diagnosing plant diseases, offering information on pest management strategies.	Costales *et al*. (2020)
Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Model	Improved coconut pest and disease identification through image classification.	Montero *et al*. (2023)
Internet of Things (IoT) Devices	IoT devices facilitate real-time monitoring of environmental conditions, soil moisture, and nutrient levels, supporting data-driven decision-making.	Briones *et al*. (2023)
Geographic Information Systems (GIS)	GIS technology is used for mapping and analyzing crop data, including soil types, climate patterns, and vegetation cover, aiding in strategic planning.	Briones *et al*. (2023)
Decision Support Systems (DSS)	DSS provide recommendations on crop management practices, such as pest control and fertilization, based on integrated data analysis.	Briones *et al*. (2023)
Precision Agriculture Tools	Utilizing sensors, GPS mapping, and data analytics to optimize crop yields while minimizing the use of pesticides and fertilizers.	International Trade Administration (2023)
Automated Weather Stations	These stations provide real-time data on weather conditions, which can be used to predict pest outbreaks and optimize pesticide application.	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2020)
Satellite-based Imaging	Satellite images are used to monitor crop growth and detect abnormalities or changes indicative of pest or disease problems.	International Rice Research Institute (2024)
Blockchain-based Traceability Systems	Blockchain technology tracks the movement of agricultural products, ensuring they are free from pests and diseases.	Briones *et al*. (2023)

IN PRESS

3.2. Benefits of using digital technologies in plant disease and pest management

The use of digital technologies in plant disease and pest management has become increasingly popular due to the numerous benefits it offers. In this section, we will discuss some of the key benefits of using digital technologies in pest management, with cited literature.

3.2.1. Early detection and diagnosis of pests and diseases

Digital technologies such as remote sensing, imaging, and smartphone apps can be used for early detection and diagnosis of pests and diseases in crops. This helps farmers take timely and appropriate measures to prevent the spread of pests and diseases, leading to improved crop yields and reduced losses. A study by Montero *et al*. (2023) demonstrated that convolutional neural network-based image classification significantly improves the identification of coconut diseases, allowing early intervention. Similarly, Mahlein *et al*. (2012) highlighted the effectiveness of hyperspectral imaging for early disease detection in crops, improving targeted pest management strategies.

3.2.2. Precision agriculture

Digital technologies can help farmers manage their farms more precisely, reducing the use of pesticides and other inputs. For instance, precision agriculture techniques such as variable rate application of pesticides can help farmers apply pesticides only where they are needed, thereby reducing the amount used. Zhang & Kovacs (2012) reviewed the application of small unmanned aerial systems in precision agriculture, demonstrating their effectiveness in optimizing pesticide use. Additionally, Gebbers & Adamchuk (2010) emphasized the role of precision agriculture in improving crop monitoring, resource management, and environmental sustainability.

3.2.3. Decision support systems

Digital technology provides farmers access to real-time data on weather, insect and disease incidence, and other elements that impact crop development. This information can be used to make up-to-date decisions on pest management approaches, reducing the risk of crop losses due to pest and disease outbreaks. Júnior *et al*. (2020) explored decision support systems in agriculture, demonstrating their effectiveness in optimizing pest control decisions using real-time data. Likewise, Prasad *et al*. (2019) examined the role of IoT-based smart farming systems in enhancing pest and disease monitoring, enabling rapid response to potential threats.

3.2.4. Reduced Environmental Impact

The use of digital technologies in pest management can help reduce the environmental impact of pesticide use. Precision agriculture techniques minimize pesticide application, while pest and disease forecasting systems help farmers time their applications more precisely, reducing overall pesticide use. Gagic *et al*. (2021) found that integrating digital pest control methods reduces the ecological footprint of pesticides while maintaining crop health. Similarly, Sharma *et al*. (2019) reported that smart pest control solutions, including machine learning-based predictive models, help optimize pesticide applications and mitigate environmental risks.

3.4. Challenges of using digital technologies in plant disease and pest management

The integration of digital technologies into plant disease and pest management offers numerous advantages, yet it also presents several challenges and disadvantages that can impede its effectiveness.

One of the primary concerns is the high implementation cost. The primary investment vital for digital tools, such as IoT devices, drones, and advanced sensors, can be prohibitive for many farmers, particularly those managing small to medium-sized operations. This financial barrier often limits widespread adoption and can worsen existing disparities within the agricultural sector (Bronson, 2019; Wolfert *et al*., 2017).

Another major challenge is technical complexity and usability issues. The sophistication of digital pest management systems necessitates a certain level of technical expertise. Farmers may encounter difficulties in operating these technologies effectively, leading to potential underutilization or misuse. Additionally, the lack of user-friendly interfaces can deter adoption among those less familiar with digital tools (Iost Filho *et al*., 2020; Tzachor *et al*., 2021). Data privacy and ownership concerns also pose significant challenges. The collection and sharing of farm data through digital platforms raise issues regarding data security and ownership. Farmers may be reluctant to adopt these technologies worrying about data misuse or unauthorized access, which could compromise their competitive advantage or lead to other unintended consequences (Kritikos, 2017b; Wiseman *et al*., 2019).

Finally, interoperability and standardization challenges hinder the seamless integration of digital pest and disease management tools. The absence of uniform standards across different digital platforms and devices can result in compatibility issues, making it difficult for various systems to work together efficiently. This lack of interoperability can reduce the overall effectiveness of plant disease and pest management strategies, limiting the potential benefits of digital technologies in agriculture (Wolfert *et al*., 2017; Jakku *et al*., 2018).

4. POTENTIAL USE OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES FOR YIELD IMPROVEMENT IN THE PHILIPPINES

The integration of digital technologies into Philippine agriculture has shown significant potential in enhancing crop yields, improving efficiency, and promoting sustainable farming practices. Various studies have documented the positive impacts of these technologies across different agricultural sectors in the country.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 3. Evidence and studies supporting the potential use of digital technologies for yield improvement in Philippine agriculture

Study	Digital Technologies Used	Significance
Binayao *et al*. (2024)	Internet of Things (IoT), microcontroller devices, solar-powered smart irrigation systems	Enhanced irrigation efficiency and potential yield improvement in rice farming
Briones *et al*. (2023)	Digital platforms, mobile applications, precision farming tools	Improved productivity and market access for smallholder farmers
International Rice Research Institute (2025)	Drones, automation, precision agriculture technologies	Enhanced efficiency and productivity in rice-based cropping systems
OpenGov Asia (2023)	Global Positioning System (GPS), UAVs, satellite imagery	Enhanced crop yields through optimized planting, irrigation, and pest management
Ashoka *et al*. (2023)	Precision farming robots, Artificial Intelligence (AI), IoT, blockchain, Virtual/Augmented Reality (VR/AR)	Increased productivity and sustainability in agricultural practices
Montesclaros & Teng (2023)	Digital technologies, smart farming tools	Improved agricultural productivity and development in Southeast Asia
Agustin *et al*. (2022)	Smart farming technologies	Enhanced agricultural productivity in the Philippines
Deichmann *et al*. (2016)	Information and Communication Technology (ICT)	Improved efficiency in delivering agricultural production advice
TraceX Technologies (2024)	Digital platforms for farm management	Enhanced efficiency, increased productivity, and sustainable farming practices in the Philippines

The adoption of digital technologies in Philippine agriculture has been transformative, offering solutions to traditional farming challenges and paving the way for modernization. A study by Binayao *et al*. (2024) introduced a smart irrigation system utilizing IoT and microcontroller devices powered by solar energy. This innovation led to enhanced irrigation efficiency, suggesting a potential increase in rice yields. Similarly, Briones *et al*. (2023) highlighted the functions of digital platforms and precision farming tools in boosting productivity and improving market access for smallholder farmers, addressing critical issues in the agricultural value chain. The International Rice Research Institute's 2025 report emphasized the integration of drones and automation in rice-based cropping systems, resulting in significant improvements in efficiency and productivity (International Rice Research Institute, 2025). Furthermore, the OpenGov Asia Report (2023) detailed the application of GPS, UAVs, and satellite imagery to optimize planting, irrigation, and pest management, collectively contributing to enhanced crop yields. Ashoka *et al*. (2023) explored advanced technologies such as precision farming robots, AI, IoT, blockchain, and VR/AR, demonstrating their potential to increase productivity and sustainability in agricultural practices. Moreover, Montesclaros & Teng (2023) analyzed the adoption of digital technologies and smart farming tools across Southeast Asia, noting significant improvements in agricultural productivity and development.

In the Philippine context, Agustin *et al*. (2022) examined the emergence of smart farming technologies, reporting notable enhancements in agricultural productivity. The World Bank's 2020 report (Deichmann *et al*. (2016)) underscored the benefits of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in delivering agricultural production advice, leading to improved efficiency. Additionally, TraceX Technologies (2023) discussed the implementation of digital platforms for farm management in the Philippines, highlighting gains in efficiency, productivity, and sustainability.

Collectively, these studies underscore the transformative potential of digital technologies in Philippine agriculture. By embracing innovations such as IoT, AI, drones, and digital platforms, the agricultural sector can achieve substantial improvements in yield, efficiency, and sustainability, contributing to food security and economic growth.

5. IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Digital technologies are transforming crop protection and pest management in the Philippines, offering benefits such as real-time pest monitoring, improved control measures, increased yields, and enhanced agricultural sustainability. To fully harness these advantages, future directions include integrating various digital tools, customizing pest management strategies, expanding farmer access to technology and training, and investing in research and development. Additionally, reducing reliance on harmful pesticides, developing decision support tools, fostering collaboration among stakeholders, adopting holistic farming approaches, and improving traceability for certification and export purposes are crucial steps forward.

Table 4. Implications and future directions of digital technologies for plant disease and pest management in the Philippines

Implications	Future Directions
Monitoring in real time and early pest detection	Integration of multiple digital technologies for a more comprehensive and accurate pest and disease management system
Improved pest control measures and reduced crop losses	Development of customized pest management strategies based on specific crop and pest types
Increased crop yields and profitability	Expansion of access to digital technologies and training for farmers
Improved sustainability and resilience of agriculture	Further research and investment in the development and implementation of digital technologies for plant disease and pest management
Reduced reliance on harmful pesticides and increased use of sustainable and organic pest control methods	Development of decision support tools to help farmers make informed pest management decisions based on environmental and economic factors
Increased efficiency of plant disease and pest management operations, reducing labor costs and improving productivity	Collaboration between farmers, researchers, and policymakers to identify key plant disease and pest management challenges and opportunities for innovation
Improved data management and analysis for more effective plant disease and pest management strategies	Incorporation of digital technologies with other agricultural practices, such as irrigation and fertilization, for a more holistic approach to farming
Improved traceability of plant disease and pest management practices for certification and export purposes	Development of locally-adapted plant disease and pest management technologies that can be customized for specific farming systems and practices

The integration of digital technologies in plant disease and pest management has led to significant advancements in Philippine agriculture. Real-time monitoring and early detection systems, such as the Smarter Pest Identification Technology (SPIDTECH) mobile application,

IN PRESS

enable farmers to identify and monitor insect pests and diseases across major crops, facilitating prompt and precise interventions (Guiam *et al*., 2021). This proactive approach minimizes crop losses and enhances productivity. To maximize the benefits of digital tools, integrating various technologies is essential. Combining Internet of Things (IoT) devices, drones, and data analytics can provide a comprehensive pest management system, offering detailed insights into pest behavior and environmental conditions. Customized strategies tailored to specific crops and pests can be developed using decision support systems, ensuring effective and targeted interventions (Manoj *et al*., 2024). Expanding access to these technologies and providing adequate training are crucial for widespread adoption. Digital platforms for farm management, which offer tools for monitoring crop health and resource management, empower farmers to make informed decisions, thereby increasing efficiency and profitability (TraceX Technologies, 2024).

Investing in research and development is vital for the continuous enhancement of digital plant disease and pest management tools. Innovations such as AI-driven pest detection systems and automated traps can revolutionize pest control measures, making them more efficient and environmentally friendly (AgriBusiness Global, 2024). Reducing reliance on harmful pesticides aligns with global sustainability goals. Digital tools that facilitate precise pest monitoring enable the use of targeted, sustainable, and organic control methods, minimizing environmental impact (CoxSunsetBeach, 2024). Additionally, collaboration among farmers, researchers, and policymakers is important to address plant disease and pest management challenges effectively. Joint efforts can lead to the development of innovative solutions and the integration of digital technologies with other agricultural practices, such as irrigation and fertilization, promoting a holistic approach to farming (Department of Agriculture, 2021). Improving traceability of plant disease and pest management practices enhances certification processes and opens up export opportunities. Digital platforms that track and document these practices ensure transparency and compliance with international standards, benefiting farmers and the agricultural sector as a whole (TraceX Technologies, 2024). In summary, embracing digital technologies in plant disease and pest management offers numerous benefits, including increased efficiency, sustainability, and profitability. By focusing on integration, customization, accessibility, research, and collaboration, the Philippines can further enhance its agricultural resilience and productivity.

6. CONCLUSION

Digital technologies have emerged as essential tools for transforming crop protection, and plant disease and pest management in the Philippines. This review has demonstrated their significant contributions to optimizing farm operations, minimizing crop losses, and promoting sustainable agricultural practices. While the integration of IoT, AI, UAVs, and precision agriculture techniques presents substantial opportunities, challenges related to cost, digital literacy, infrastructure limitations, and regulatory frameworks must be addressed to maximize their impact. A multidisciplinary approach involving researchers, policymakers, and farmers is crucial to overcoming these barriers and ensuring the effective deployment of digital innovations. Additionally, future research should explore the synergistic effects of combining multiple digital technologies, the scalability of existing systems, and the socioeconomic implications of digital agriculture on smallholder farmers. Strengthening policy frameworks, investing in capacity-building programs, and fostering private-public partnerships will be critical in bridging the digital divide and ensuring that technology-driven agricultural advancements are inclusive, sustainable, and resilient.

7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author has not declared any conflict of interest.

8. REFERENCES

AgriBusiness Global. 2024. AI and Pest Management: Protecting Yields with Smart Technology. Retrieved from https://www.globalagtechinitiative.com/digital-farming/ai-and-pest-management-protecting-yields-with-smart-technology/

IN PRESS

Agustin, E. J. B., Alcaraz, H. A., & Bristol, D. M. 2022. The emergence of disruptive smart farming technologies in the Philippine agriculture under the new normal. *International Journal of Progressive Research in Science and Engineering*, 3(3), 23-32.

Aktar, M. W., Sengupta, D., & Chowdhury, A. 2009. Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: Their benefits and hazards. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology*, 2(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10102-009-0001-7

Ashoka, P., Singh, N. K., Sunitha, N. H., Saikanth, D. R. K., Singh, O., Sreekumar, G., & Singh, B. V. 2023. Enhancing agricultural production with digital technologies: a review. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change*, 13(9), 409-422.

Atwood, D., & Paisley-Jones, C. 2017. Pesticides industry sales and usage: 2008-2012 market estimates. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Balabag, N. M., Anub, R. R., & Sabado, E. M. 2019. Survey of insects and other arthropods in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in Lanao del Sur province, ARMM, Philippines. *International Journal of Science and Management Studies*, 2(3), 45-53.

Baker, B. P., Benbrook, C. M., Groth, E., & Lutz Benbrook, K. 2002. Pesticide residues in conventional, IPM-grown and organic foods: Insights from three US data sets. *Food Additives and Contaminants, 19*(5), 427-446.

Bett, C., Ngugi, R., & Karanja, D. 2017. The impact of pesticide use on maize productivity in Kenya. *Journal of Agricultural Science, 9*(3), 87-95.

Binayao, R. P., Mantua, P. V. L., Namocatcat, H. R. M. P., Seroy, J. K. K. B., Sudaria, P. R. A. B., Gumonan, K. M. V. C., & Orozco, S. M. M. 2024. Smart Water Irrigation for Rice Farming through the Internet of Things. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07917*.

Botany One. 2021. The future of digital technologies for crops. Retrieved from https://botany.one/2021/08/the-future-of-digital-technologies-for-crops/

Briones, R. M., Galang, I. M. R., & Latigar, J. S. 2023. Transforming Philippine Agri-Food Systems with Digital Technology: Extent, Prospects, and Inclusiveness (No. 2023-29). PIDS Discussion Paper Series. Retrieved from https://pidswebs.pids.gov.ph/CDN/document/pidsdps2329.pdf

Bronson, K. 2019. Smart farming: Including rights holders for sustainability and ethics. *Technology in Society*, 58, 101148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.101148

Brower-Toland, B., Stevens, J. L., Ralston, L., Kosola, K., & Slewinski, T. L. (2024). A crucial role for technology in sustainable agriculture. *ACS Agricultural Science & Technology*, 4(3), 283-291.

Costales, H., Callejo-Arruejo, A., & Rafanan, N. 2023. Development of a Prototype Application for Rice Disease Detection Using Convolutional Neural Networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.05528*.

CoxSunsetBeach. 2024. Using digital technology to manage pests and diseases in agriculture. *Aspexit*. Retrieved from https://www.aspexit.com/using-digital-technology-to-manage-pests-and-diseases-in-agriculture/

Cruz, D. D. A. 2022. Future-proofing Philippine agriculture and food systems: Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. University of the Philippines Center for Integrative and Development Studies. Retrieved from https://cids.up.edu.ph/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/Future-Proofing-Philippine-Agriculture-and-Food-Systems.pdf

Damalas, C. A., & Eleftherohorinos, I. G. 2011. Pesticide exposure, safety issues, and risk assessment indicators. International *Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 8(5), 1402-1419. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8051402

IN PRESS

Damalas, C. A., & Koutroubas, S. D. 2016. Farmers' exposure to pesticides: Toxicity types and ways of prevention. *Toxics, 4*(1), 1-10.

Deichmann, U., Goyal, A., & Mishra, D. 2016. Will digital technologies transform agriculture in developing countries?. *Agricultural Economics*, 47(S1), 21-33.

Department of Agriculture. 2022. Accomplishment Report 2022. Retrieved from https://www.nta.da.gov.ph/accomplishment-report-2022/

Department of Agriculture. 2021. Technology and innovation including digital agriculture. Department of Agriculture, Republic of the Philippines. Retrieved from https://www.da.gov.ph/the-one-da-reform-agenda-eighteen-18-key-strategies/technology-and-innovation-including-digital-agriculture/

European Commission. 2020. Farm to fork strategy: for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system. *Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions*, 381, 1-9.

Farrar, J. J., Baur, M. E., & Elliott, S. F. 2016. Integrated pest management. In *Encyclopedia of Applied Plant Sciences*, *Elsevier*, 408-412.

Fernandez-Cornejo, J., Nehring, R. F., Osteen, C., Wechsler, S., Martin, A., & Vialou, A. 2014. Pesticide use in US agriculture: 21 selected crops, 1960-2008. *USDA-ERS Economic Information Bulletin*, 124.

Food and Agriculture Organization. 2020. Statistical Yearbook World Food and Agriculture 2020. Retrieved from https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/76b45dc9-c646-46fe-9b2b-52fcb06fe10a/content/CB1329EN.html

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2020. FAO and DA: Partnership for food security amid COVID-19 pandemic in the Philippines. Retrieved from https://www.fao.org/philippines/news/detail/en/c/1307351/

Gagic, V., Hulthen, A. D., Marcora, A., & Ekbom, B. 2021. Pest control and pesticide use in precision agriculture: A review. *Agricultural Systems*, 190, 103097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103097

Gebbers, R., & Adamchuk, V. I. 2010. Precision agriculture and food security. *Science, 327*(5967), 828-831. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183899

Godfray, H. C. J., Beddington, J. R., Crute, I. R., Haddad, L., Lawrence, D., Muir, J. F., ... & Toulmin, C. 2010. Food security: The challenge of feeding 9 billion people. *Science, 327*(5967), 812-818. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185383

Gould, F., Brown, Z. S., & Kuzma, J. 2018. Wicked evolution: Can we address the sociobiological dilemma of pesticide resistance? *Science,* 360(6390), 728-732. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3780

Guiam, A. C., Gutierrez, R. D., Gapasin, C. V. D., Matalog, R. P., & Ebuenga, M. D. 2021. Smarter Pest Identification Technology (SPIDTECH): a Mobile Application for Digital Identification and Remote Monitoring of Insect Pests and Diseases of Major Crops in the Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Science*, 150 (6B): 1811-1821

Guichard, L., Devienne, S., & Cohan, J. P. 2017. The decline in pesticide use in France: What are the drivers? *Agricultural Sciences, 8*(2), 207-219.

International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). 2025. IRRI and smart agriculture technology leader XAG collaborate to promote digital agriculture and precision farming in the Philippines. Retrieved from https://www.cgiar.org/news-events/news/irri-and-smart-agriculture-technology-leader-xag-collaborate-to-promote-digital-agriculture-and-precision-farming-in-the-philippines/

IN PRESS

International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). 2024. In the Philippines, stakeholders are charting the future of digital agriculture. Retrieved from https://www.irri.org/news-and-events/news/philippines-stakeholders-are-charting-future-digital-agriculture

International Trade Administration. 2023. Philippines agricultural technology. Retrieved from https://www.trade.gov/market-intelligence/philippines-agricultural-technology

Iost Filho, F. H., Heldens, W. B., Kong, Z., & van der Werf, W. 2020. Digital agriculture for pest control: Using UAVs to increase crop resilience. *Current Opinion in Insect Science, 38*, 27-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.01.006

Iost Filho, F. H., Pazini, J. D. B., Alves, T. M., Koch, R. L., & Yamamoto, P. T. 2022. How does the digital transformation of agriculture affect the implementation of Integrated Pest Management? *Frontiers In Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, 972213.

Jakku, E., Taylor, B., Fleming, A., Mason, C., Fielke, S., Sounness, C., & Thorburn, P. 2018. If they don’t trust it, they won’t use it: Farmers’ trust in precision agriculture technologies. *Agricultural Systems*, 155, 52-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.12.004

Júnior, L. C. M., Molin, J. P., & de Almeida, J. A. S. 2020. Decision support systems in agriculture: State-of-the-art and future perspectives. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 170*, 105256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105256

Klümper, W., & Qaim, M. 2014. A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops. *PLoS ONE*, 9(11), e111629. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111629

Kritikos, E. 2017a. Forecasting pest outbreaks using climate and field data: Advances and challenges. *Agricultural Systems, 157*, 16-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.07.002

Kritikos, M. 2017b. Precision agriculture in Europe: Legal, social, and ethical considerations. *European Parliamentary Research Service*. https://doi.org/10.2861/331378

Lu, Y., Wu, K., Jiang, Y., Xia, B., Li, P., Feng, H., & Guo, Y. 2020. Widespread adoption of Bt cotton and insecticide decrease promotes biocontrol services. *Nature*, 577(7790), 706-710. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1864-2

Mahlein, A. K., Oerke, E. C., Steiner, U., & Dehne, H. W. 2012. Recent advances in sensing plant diseases for precision crop protection. *European Journal of Plant Pathology, 133*(1), 197-209. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-011-9878-z

Manoj, M., Madhuri, E., Samreen, S., Reddy, J., Keerthika, N., Sake, M., Tulasi, B., Godavari, H., Sharma, P., Ranjitha, S. M., & Paschapur, A. 2024. Future farming: The impact of digital agriculture on pest and disease strategies. *AATCC Review, 12*(3), 121. https://doi.org/10.58321/AATCCReview.2024.12.03.121

Meena, R. S., Kumar, S., Datta, R., Lal, R., Vijayakumar, V., Brtnicky, M., & Marfo, T. D. 2020. Impact of agrochemicals on soil microbiota and management: A review. *Land*, 9(2), 34.

Mohanty, S. P., Hughes, D. P., & Salathé, M. 2016. Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 7*, 1419. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01419

Montero, C. D. L., Gundul, B. J. A., Frayco, J. G., & Candia Jr, J. Q. 2023. Convolutional neural network-based image classification for improved coconut disease identification. *SDSSU Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 11*(1), 17-21.

Mostafalou, S., & Abdollahi, M. 2017. Pesticides and human chronic diseases: Evidences, mechanisms, and perspectives. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 268(2), 157-177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2013.11.002

Oliveira, P., Moura, S., & Silva, D. 2014. Economic benefits of pesticide use in Brazilian agriculture. *Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 10*(2), 1-14.
IN PRESS

OpenGov Asia. 2023. Digitalisation boosts Philippine agriculture. Retrieved from https://opengovasia.com/2023/09/30/digitalisation-boots-philippine-agriculture/

OVCRE UPLB. 2018. *Trichogramma* parasitoids as biological control. Retrieved from https://ovcre.uplb.edu.ph/research/our-technologies/article/31-trichogramma-parasitoids-as-biological-control

Philippine Statistics Authority. 2019. Pesticide usage and rice production in the Philippines. Retrieved from https://psa.gov.ph

Prasad, B., Maheshwari, S., & Tiwari, S. 2019. Smart farming using IoT: A solution for optimally monitoring pest and disease outbreaks. *Journal of Sensors and Actuator Networks, 8*(3), 65-79. https://doi.org/10.3390/jsan8030065

Renjan, B. 2023. Enhancing Intercropping Research and Practices in Modern Agricultural Landscapes. *Agriculture Archives: An International Journal*, 26-30.

Reyes, M. R., Cabasan, M. T. N., Tabora, J. A. G., Cabatac, N. N., Jumao-as, C. M., Soberano, J. O., & Turba, J. V. 2016. *Economic and ecological perspectives of farmers on rice insect pest management*. *Philippine Rice Research Institute*. Retrieved from https://www.philrice.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/CPD-2016.pdf

Sharma, S., Bhardwaj, H., & Sood, M. 2019. Machine learning in agriculture: A review on applications, challenges, and future trends. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 168*, 105230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2019.105230

Singh, R., Shukla, S., & Rathore, P. 2020. Remote sensing and GIS applications in precision agriculture: Monitoring crop health. *Precision Agriculture, 21*(4), 733-752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-020-09729-5

Sinha, S., Banerjee, A., & Goswami, R. 2020. Pesticide use and environmental concerns in India. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27*(2), 1507-1521.

Tzachor, A., Richards, C. E., & Holt, L. 2021. Digital agriculture: Risks and challenges for food security. *Food Security, 13*(4), 781-789. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-021-01187-8

Tsouros, D. C., Bibi, S., & Sarigiannidis, P. G. 2019. A review on UAV-based applications for precision agriculture. *Information, 10*(10), 349. https://doi.org/10.3390/info10100349

TraceX Technologies. 2024. Digital platforms for farm management in the Philippines. Retrieved from https://tracextech.com/digital-platform-for-farm-management-in-philippines/

Van den Berg, H., Yadav, R. S., & Zaim, M. 2020. Global trends in the use of insecticides and herbicides: Implications for sustainable pest management. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, 8*(4), 34-47.

West, A., Hilker, T., & Coops, N. C. 2020. Remote sensing and digital mapping of invasive species in agricultural landscapes. *Ecological Applications, 30*(2), e02039. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2039

Wiseman, L., Sanderson, J., Zhang, A., & Jakku, E. 2019. Farmers and their data: An examination of farmers' reluctance to share their data through the lens of the laws impacting smart farming. *NJAS - Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences, 90-91*, 100301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.njas.2019.100301

Wolfert, S., Ge, L., Verdouw, C., & Bogaardt, M. J. 2017. Big data in smart farming—A review. *Agricultural Systems, 153*, 69-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.01.023

World Bank. 2021. Philippines - World Bank Data. Retrieved from https://data.worldbank.org/country/philippines?

IN PRESS

Zhang, W., Li, Y., & Tang, X. 2021. Biological control of agricultural pests using digital technologies: A review. *Journal of Pest Science, 94*(3), 625-640. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-020-01316-8

Zhang, C., & Kovacs, J. M. 2012. The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: A review. *Precision Agriculture, 13*(6), 693-712. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-012-9274-5

IN PRESS