

Available Online at EScience Press

Plant Protection

ISSN: 2617-1287 (Online), 2617-1279 (Print) http://esciencepress.net/journals/PP

ROLE OF *GLOMUS MOSSEAE* AND NEEMEX IN THE MANAGEMENT OF *MELOIDOGYNE INCOGNITA* ON TOMATO

Atta ur Rehman Khan¹, Waqas Ashraf², Waqas Ahmed Khan³, Hafeez ur Rehman⁴, Awais Ahmed Khan¹, Muntazir Mehdi³

¹ Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan. ² Department of Plant Pathology, University College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan.

³ Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan. ⁴ Department of Plant Pathology, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received: 7th July, 2017 Revised: 12th August, 2017 Accepted: 20th August, 2017

Keywords Glomus mosseae Meloidogyne incognita tomato neemex mycorhizal fungus

Root-knot nematodes are one of the major limiting factors for vegetables including tomato. Chemical control of nematodes is harmful to health and causes environmental pollution by contaminating underground water. Use of biocontrol agents can be the potential alternative to chemicals. Therefore, in the present study, effectiveness of mycorhizal fungus (MF) Glomus mosseae and neemex alone and in combination was evaluated against *Meloidogyne incognita* under greenhouse conditions. The combined application of MF and neemex caused significant reductions in number of galls, egg masses and females followed by application of MF and neemex alone as compared to control. Number of juveniles ([2)/root system and [2/100 cm³ soil were estimated to be lower in the combined treatment of MF and neemex, followed by MF and neemex alone as compared to check. Root weight was significantly higher in *M. incognita* inoculated plants followed by MF and neemex combined treatment and MF alone in the presence of *M. incognita* as compared to other treatments. Significantly higher root length was recorded in plants treated with MF as compared to control. Shoot weight and shoot length was significantly higher in mycorrhizal treated plants as compared to other treatments. It is therefore, concluded from the present study that mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae* and neemex alone and in combination has the potential to reduce root-knot infestation and enhance growth of tomato.

ABSTRACT

Corresponding Author: Atta ur Rehman Khan Email: atta1036uaf@gmail.com © 2017 EScience Press. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Root-knot nematodes are serious and economically the most important pests of many cultivated crops around the world (Oka et al., 2000) and can cause the complete failure of crops. The ability of infected roots to absorb water, minerals and fertilizers is greatly hampered due to nematode attack. Serious damage of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, has been recorded on tomato and other vegetables in Pakistan (Hussain et al., 2016; Kayani et al., 2013; Mukhtar et al., 2013). Crop losses become aggravated when root-knot nematodes were found in associations with other soil borne pathogens (Shahbaz et al., 2015). Use of chemical nematicides is being discouraged and gradually prohibited due to health hazards. This can be done by adopting innocuous management practices (Martin, 2003).

Biological control of nematodes using rhizosphere microorganisms has been advocated in several reviews to be a potential management tactic and an effective alternative to nematicides (Kerry, 2000). Mycorrhizal fungi perform a significant role as bio-protectant against pathogens (Naher et al., 2013). Mycorrhizal fungi and root-knot nematodes share a striking feature, which is their ability to form associations with the roots of the majority of plant species, whereas other biotrophs generally show a restricted host range (Trudgill and Blok, 2001). Mycorrhizal fungi and neem products have the ability to suppress root-knot nematodes in different crops including vegetables (Hasan and Khan, 2004). The role of mycorrhizal fungi in reducing harmful effects of root infection by many parasitic nematodes in crops is well recognized (Shreenivasa et al., 2007). Similarly, juveniles of M. incognita exposed to neem products show immobility and mortality (Javed et al., 2007). Neem products, particularly seed and neem cake are widely used as a soil amendment against nematodes (Akhtar and Mahmood, 1994). Neem products are absorbed by the plants and when nematodes come in contact for feeding they inhibit or delay their development (Javed et al., 2007) but no information is available on the combined application of neemex and mycorrhizal fungus for rootknot nematode management and their impact on growth improvement of tomato. Therefore, in the current study, effectiveness of mycorrhizal fungus (Glomus mosseae) and neemex alone and in combination was assessed against *M. incognita* using tomato under greenhouse conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tomato seeds were surface sterilized and rinsed thoroughly with distilled water. The seeds were placed on autoclaved filter papers soaked with sterile distilled water and incubated at 25°C for 24 h. Four seedlings were planted in each pot and were thinned to one per pot after the emergence of the second leaf. Mycorrhizal fungus (MF) was propagated on maize host plants in a growth chamber at 30°C/18°C with a 16 h/8 h light/dark regime and 50–75% relative humidity. The plants were harvested after 4 months of growth. Soils containing spores, external mycelium and roots of maize were used as inoculum. Soil and sand mixture (1 kg) and 200 g mycorrhizal inoculum and 5 g neemex alone and in combination were placed in each pot 20 days before inoculation of nematodes. The inoculum was placed about 2 cm under the soil surface. Untreated pots received an equivalent amount of soil to provide a similar soil microbial community (Calvet et al., 2001). Meloidogyne incognita was isolated from heavily infected tomato roots and was multiplied on susceptible tomato cultivar using single egg mass. The number of galls on the roots was recorded. Egg masses were stained in phloxine B for 20 min, rinsed in sterilized distilled water and then counted under a stereomicroscope (Hussey, 1973). The number of female nematodes within the roots was counted using a dissecting microscope and staining by the NaOCl-acid fuchsin technique (Bybd et al., 1983). Similarly, data regarding growth parameters were recorded as described by (Hussain et al., 2016).

All the data were subjected to Analysis of Variance by using MINITAB/STAT statistical analysis software and means were compared by DMRT (Minitab, 2014).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of mycorrhizal fungus and neemex against M. incognita: The maximum number of galls was recorded in M. incognita treatment (327) while minimum galls were observed in roots of plants treated with the combined application of MF and neemex (43) followed by application of MF (52) and neemex alone (103) at P<0.05. Lower number of egg masses and females were recorded in MF plus neemex application (80 and 127) followed by MF (37 and 77) and neemex alone (72 and 123) as compared to control (Table 1). Second stage juveniles ([2))/root system and $[2/100 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ soil were estimated to}]$ be lower in combined treatment of MF and neemex (2430, 349) followed by MF (2754, 399) and neemex alone (4933, 677) as compared to check (12148, 1727). Root weight was significantly higher in M. incognita inoculated plants (7.4 g) followed by MF and neemex together (6.98 g) and MF alone in the presence of *M. incognita* (6.5 g) as compared to other treatments at P<0.05 (Table 2).

Significantly higher root length was recorded in plants treated with MF in the absence of *M. incognita* (19.31 cm) as compared to control. Un-inoculated healthy, combined application of MF and neemex without *M. incognita*, MF alone and MF with neemex in the presence of *M. incognita* were statistically significant over control but non-significant with each other at P<0.05. Shoot weight and shoot length was significantly higher in mycorrhizal treated plants (21.10 g, 29.13 cm respectively) as compared to other treatments.

Treatment	No. of galls	No. of Egg	No. of	J2/ root	J2/100 cm ³
		masses	Females	system	soil
Healthy	0 E	0 E	0 E	00 E	0 E
M. incognita	327 A	302 A	359 A	12148 A	1727 A
MF + Healthy	0 E	0 E	0 E	0 E	0 E
Neemex + Healthy	0 E	0 E	0 E	0 E	0 E
MF + Neemex +Healthy	0 E	0 E	0 E	0 E	0 E
MF + <i>M. incognita</i>	52 C	37 C	77 C	2754 C	399 C
Neemex + <i>M. incognita</i>	103 B	72 B	123 B	4933 B	677 B
MF + Neemex + <i>M</i> .	43 D	42 D	80 D	2430 D	349 D
incognita					
LSD at P<0.05	4.1002	3.3910	3.8520	3.1885	3.0900

Table 1. Effect	t of mycorrhizal	fungus and	neemex against M.	incognita	infectivity parameters.
					···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Means sharing similar letters are statistically non-significant at P<0.05.

Table 7 Effected was	recombined from good and made	ware an ulant gravith warene stars
Table Z Ellect of my	/correnzal minoris and nee	mex on highl growin harameters
Tuble L. Lifett of In	corringas and nee	men on plane growth parameters

Treatment	Root	Root length	Shoot weight	Shoot length
	weight (g)	(cm)	(g)	(cm)
Healthy	5.6 BC	18.1300 AB	15.0900 CD	24.7800 B
M. incognita	7.4 A	10.0200 D	8.3900 E	16.6300 C
MF + Healthy	6.2 C	19.31 A	21.1000 A	29.1300 A
Neemex + Healthy	4.2 BC	17.1000 BC	13.5700 D	19.9500 C
MF + Neemex + Healthy	6.98 AB	19.3400 AB	17.8700 BC	27.0400 AB
MF + <i>M. incognita</i>	6.5 AB	19.6700 AB	19.9100 AB	27.6000 AB
Neemex + M. incognita	4.5 C	15.7800 C	13.6900 D	19.0300 C
MF + Neemex + <i>M. incognita</i>	6.1 BC	19.5100 AB	17.7300 BC	26.4900 AB
LSD at P<0.05	2.2173	3.2381	3.1947	3.6775

Means sharing similar letters are statistically non-significant at P<0.05.

Application of MF prior to nematode inoculation suppressed the nematodes to a greater degree than the application of MF after the nematodes. This might be related to the time interval necessary for the establishment of the mycorrhiza in the root cortex. It is well known that mycorrhiza establish in the root cortex in about 15 to 20 days in tomato (Sitaramaiah and Singh, 1978) and cotton (Saleh and Sikora, 1984). The presence of mycorrhiza in the host can reduce attraction to roots and juvenile penetration and retard nematode development after penetration (Sikora, 1992). Mycorrhizal and mycorrhizal spore population colonization were found to be lower when nematodes were inoculated before MF application. This agrees with previous observations in rough lemon seedlings infected with Radopholus similis and G. etunicatum in which vesicle formation and mycelial growth were lower in nematode infected roots. Also, reduced spore production in the presence of *M. incognita* may indicate competition for nutrients. Delaying application of MF after the nematode inoculation resulted in increased root galling and nematode population in the soil and suppression of spore population and colonization by MF. Changes in MF colonization and spore population in the presence of nematodes have been observed previously (Castillo et al., 2006; Waceke et al., 2001) and were attributed mainly to competition between MF and Meloidogyne spp. for feeding sites and carbon substrates from host photosynthesis (Hol and Cook, 2005). After Meloidogyne spp. invade the vascular cylinder, the root tissue around developing females usually proliferates to form knots or galls, which disrupt vessels and thus reduce the transport of water and nutrients through the altered roots. This may interfere with the translocation of metabolites required by mycorrhizal fungi. The disease syndrome initiated by root-knot nematodes very often includes the invasion of affected root tissue by secondary pathogens, which cause decay of root tissues including the cortical tissue colonized by MF. Mycorrhizal development and growth of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants were reported to be reduced in the presence of *M. arenaria* in grapes (Atilano et al., 1981). It is therefore, concluded from the present study that mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae* and neemex alone and in combination has the potential to reduce root-knot infestation and enhance growth of tomato.

REFERENCES

- Akhtar, M., Mahmood, I., 1994. Control of root-knot nematode by bare-root dip in undecomposed and decomposed extracts of neem cake and leaf. Nematologia Mediterranea 22, 55-57.
- Atilano, R.A., Menge, J.A., Van Gundy, S.D., 1981. Interaction between *Meloidogyne arenaria* and *Glomus fascicuqlatus* in grape. Journal of Nematology 13, 52.
- Bybd, D.W., Kirkpatrick, T., Barker, K.R., 1983. An improved technique for clearing and staining plant tissues for detection of nematodes. Journal of nematology 15, 142.
- Calvet, C., Pinochet, J., Hernández-Dorrego, A., Estaún, V., Camprubí, A., 2001. Field microplot performance of the peach-almond hybrid GF-677 after inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a replant soil infested with root-knot nematodes. Mycorrhiza 10, 295-300.
- Castillo, P., Nico, A.I., Azcón-Aguilar, C., Del Río Rincón, C., Calvet, C., Jiménez-Díaz, R.M., 2006. Protection of olive planting stocks against parasitism of rootknot nematodes by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant Pathology 55, 705-713.
- Hasan, A., Khan, M.N., 2004. Interaction of root-knot nematode, VAM fungus and neem cake in amended soils on gladiolus. Nematologia Mediterranea 32.
- Hol, W.H.G., Cook, R., 2005. An overview of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi-nematode interactions. Basic and Applied Ecology 6, 489-503.
- Hussain, M.A., Mukhtar, T., Kayani, M.Z., 2016. Reproduction of *Meloidogyne incognita* on resistant and susceptible okra cultivars. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences 53, 371-375.
- Hussey, R.S., 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp., including a new

technique. Plant Disease 57, 1025-1028.

- Javed, N., Gowen, S.R., Inam-ul-Haq, M., Abdullah, K., Shahina, F., 2007. Systemic and persistent effect of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) formulations against root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne javanica* and their storage life. Crop Protection 26, 911-916.
- Kayani, M.Z., Mukhtar, T., Hussain, M.A., Ul-Haque, M.I., 2013. Infestation assessment of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) associated with cucumber in the Pothowar region of Pakistan. Crop Protection 47, 49-54.
- Kerry, B.R., 2000. Rhizosphere interactions and the exploitation of microbial agents for the biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes. Annual Review of Phytopathology 38, 423-441.
- Martin, F.N., 2003. Development of alternative strategies for management of soil borne pathogens currently controlled with methyl bromide. Annual Review of Phytopathology 41, 325-350.
- Minitab, 2014. MINITAB release 17, Statistical software for windows. Minitab Inc, USA.
- Mukhtar, T., Arshad, I., Kayani, M.Z., Hussain, M.A., Kayani,
 S.B., Rahoo, A.M., Ashfaq, M., 2013. Estimation of
 damage to okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) by rootknot disease incited by *Meloidogyne incognita*.
 Pakistan Journal of Botany 45, 1023-1027.
- Naher, U.A., Othman, R., Panhwar, Q.A., 2013. Beneficial effects of mycorrhizal association for crop production in the tropics: A review. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 15.
- Oka, Y., Nacar, S., Putievsky, E., Ravid, U., Yaniv, Z., Spiegel, Y., 2000. Nematicidal activity of essential oils and their components against the root-knot nematode. Phytopathology 90, 710-715.
- Saleh, H., Sikora, R.A., 1984. Relationship between *Glomus fascicula* tum root colonization of cotton and its effect on *Meloidogyne Incognita*. Nematologica 30, 230-237.
- Shahbaz, M.U., Mukhtar, T., Begum, N., 2015. Biochemical and Serological Characterization of Ralstonia solanacearum Associated with Chilli Seeds from Pakistan. International Journal of Agriculture & Biology 17.
- Shreenivasa, K., Krishnappa, K., Ravichandra, N., 2007. Interaction effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus fasciculatum and root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita on growth and phosphorous uptake of tomato. Karnataka Journal

of Agricultural Sciences 20, 57.

- Sikora, R.A., 1992. Management of the antagonistic potential in agricultural ecosystems for the biological control of plant parasitic nematodes. Annual Review of Phytopathology 30, 245-270.
- Sitaramaiah, K., Singh, R.S., 1978. Effect of organic amendment on phenolic content of soil and plant and response of *Meloidogyne javanica* and its host to related compounds. Plant and Soil 50, 671-679.
- Trudgill, D.L., Blok, V.C., 2001. Apomictic, polyphagous root-knot nematodes: Exceptionally successful and damaging biotrophic root pathogens. Annual Review of Phytopathology 39, 53-77.
- Waceke, J.W., Waudo, S.W., Sikora, R., 2001. Response of *Meloidogyne hapla* to mycorrhiza fungi inoculation on pyrethrum. African Journal of Science and Technology 2.