

Available Online at ESci Journals
Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics



ISSN: 2305-297X (Online), 2308-121X (Print) http://www.escijournals.net/JPBG

EVALUATION OF F4 BREAD WHEAT (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM* L.) GENOTYPES FOR GENETIC VARIABILITY, HERITABILITY, GENETIC ADVANCE AND CORRELATION STUDIES

^aAmir Sohail*, ^aHidayatur Rahman, ^aFarhat Ullah, ^aSyed M.A. Shah, ^bTanvir Burni, ^cShahzad Ali

^a Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan.
 ^b Department of Botany, University of Peshawar, Pakistan.
 ^c College of Agronomy, Northwest A & F University, Yangling, China.

ABSTRACT

This research was carried out to check genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in 11 F₄ bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes (10 F_4 lines and one check) in a randomized block design with three replications at the University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan during 2015-16. Data was/were taken on parameters such as days to heading (days), plant height (cm), flag leaf area (cm²), spike length (cm), grain weight spike⁻¹ (g), 1000-grain weight (g), grain yield plant⁻¹ (g), biological yield plant⁻¹ (g) and harvest index (%). The statistically significant difference(s) was/were detected for the investigated traits. The high magnitude of heritability (>0.62) was noted for all parameters except spike length (0.57) which was moderate. Low expected genetic advance was recorded for days to heading (3.90%) and spike length (8.13%), moderate expected genetic advance was observed for plant height (9.95%), grain weight spike⁻¹ (11.54%) and 1000 grain weight (13.41%), while high expected genetic advance was noted for flag leaf area (24.72%), grain yield plant⁻¹ (20.45%), biological yield plant⁻¹ (23.64%) and harvest index (24%). Grain yield plant⁻¹ was non-significantly and positively correlated with days to heading ($rG = 0.19^{NS}$ and $rP = 0.07^{NS}$), plant height (rG = 0.30 NS and rP = 0.26 NS), flag leaf area (rG = 0.25 NS and rP = 0.18 NS), spike length (rG = 0.01 NS and rP = 0.07 NS), grain weight spike⁻¹ (rG = 0.28 ^{NS} and rP = 0.22 ^{NS}) and 1000-grain weight (rG = 0.02 ^{NS} and rP = 0.07 ^{NS}) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. While significantly and positively correlated with biological yield plant $G = 0.34^*$ and $rP = 0.33^*$) and harvest index (rG = 0.58^{**} and rP = 0.66^{**}) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. High heritability showed that these traits are under genetic control and single plant selection could be started in F_5 generation. The strong correlation of grain yield plant⁻¹ with the mentioned traits showed that grain yield could be indirectly improved by improving these traits.

Keywords: Wheat (*Triticum aestivcum* L.) genotypes, heritability, genetic advance, genotypic and phenotypic correlation.

INTRODUCTION

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is a hexaploid species having chromosome number 2n=6x=42, and it belongs to the family of Gramineae. Wheat is a staple diet of Pakistan and overrides all the crops in area and production. In Pakistan wheat account for 70% of the cereals and over 36% of the country acreage is devoted to wheat cultivation. Pakistan is the 4th wheat producer in Asia continent and stood 11th worldwide (PAR, 2016). During 2015-16 the worlds wheat production was 733.8

* Corresponding Author:

Email: amirsohail@aup.edu.pk

© 2018 ESci Journals Publishing. All rights reserved.

million tons, while in Pakistan the production was 27.52 million tons (FAOSTAT, 2016).

Heritability estimates provide a message that how much a character is controlled genetically (Mohtasham *et al.* 2012). Knowledge of heritability of the characters leads the breeder to prognosticate the behavior of generation and helps the breeder in doing the selection. Breeding program success reckon on the amount of genetic variation in breeding material available. Improvement in any characters can be achieved through the knowledge of genetic parameter and heritability. Study of phenotypic, genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance helps in finding the nature and magnitude of variation in breeding material. Crop improvement depends on the existence of genetic variability in germplasm Khan *et. al.* (2015). In any breeding program estimation of genetic parameters are taken into consideration for the improvement in the economic characters (Inamullah *et al.*, 2006).

Heritability is the proportion of genetic variation to phenotypic variation. Variation for any trait in a segregation population could be due to genetic and environmental components. As known, the heritability of a trait is used as a tool for the effectiveness of selection segregating population response in following hybridization. It estimates the index of transmissibility of genes controlling the traits. When knowledge of heritability is available then selection for any breeding program can be predicted. Since the expected gain is the function of heritability, high heritability coupled with high selection response is desired for selection (Larik and Joshi, 2004). In selection program selection of superior phenotype is possible only when the phenotype and genotype are correlated. Keeping in view the abovementioned points the research was conducted to explore the information on genetic variability, heritability, selection response and genotypic as well as phenotypic correlation among the traits for the development of superior genotype by identifying of traits having a direct effect on yield as criteria of selection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A set of 10 F₄ wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) lines along with check cultivar i.e. Barsat×Siren, Tatara×Siren, Tatara×anbaz, Barsat×Janbaz, AUP-5008×Siren, Salim-2000×Siren, Salim-2000×Janbaz, AUP-5008×Janbaz, Tatara×PS-2005, AUP-5008×PS-2005 and Tatara (Check) were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications at the University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan during 2014-15. Each line was sown in three rows with a row length of three-meter and row to row distance was 0.3 meter. For data collection ten randomly plants were selected from three rows. Data were recorded on days to heading (days), plant height (cm), flag leaf area (cm²), spike length (cm), grain weight spike⁻¹ (g), 1000-grain weight (g), grain yield plant⁻¹(g), biological yield plant⁻¹(g) and harvest index (%). Standard cultural practices including soil fertilization, irrigation, hoeing, weeding etc. procedures were applied during the whole cropping season as recommended to growers.

Statistical Analysis: Data taken on each trait were

exposed to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique as determined by Steel and Torrie (1980). Means separation using LSD test was used at a probability level of 5%. Broad-sense heritability for the F₄ populations was computed using the general formula:

$h_{B.S} = Vg/Vp$

Where, h_{BS}^2 = broad sense heritability; Vg and Vp are the genotypic and phenotypic variances of the trait, respectively.

Expected genetic advance (GA) was computed using the following method (Allard, 1960).

$$GA = i \times S \times h^2$$

Where, GA=expected genetic advance, i = selection intensity at 5% i.e 2.06, S= phenotypic standard deviation and h_{BS}^2 = coefficient of heritability (broad sense).

Whereas, the genotypic and phenotypic correlation among the characters were calculated following Singh and Chaudhery (2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Days to heading: Mean squares revealed significant differences (P \leq 0.01) among F₄ wheat lines for days to heading (Table 1). The coefficient of variation was 0.95% and coefficient of determination was 0.89. Mean data ranged from 112 to 121 among F₄ wheat lines. Minimum (112) days of heading was recorded for Tatara×Siren while maximum (121) days to heading was recorded for Tatara×PS2005 (Table 2). Our findings are in favor of Ali et.al. (2007). who also noted significant differences in wheat genotype for the days to heading. High heritability (0.83) and low genetic advance (3.90%) was recorded for the days to heading (Table 3). Rahman et. al. (2016 a) also noted high heritability and stated that days to heading is genetically controlled and taken into consideration. The days to heading exhibited significant genotypic and phenotypic association with spike length (rG = 0.628^{**} and rP = 0.37^{*}), grain weight spike⁻¹ (rG = 0.59^{**} and rP = 0.40^{*}) and biological yield $plant^{-1}$ (rG = 0.55** and rP = 0.42*), while nonsignificant genotypic and phenotypic association was observed with rest of traits studied. (Table 4). The results of our study are in line with results of Khan et al., 2015. Who also noted positive correlation of the days to heading with biological yield plant⁻¹ and grain weight spike⁻¹.

Plant height (cm): Mean squares revealed significant differences ($P \le 0.01$) among F_4 wheat lines for the plant height (Table 1).

Table 1. Variance analysis results.

Source	Df	Days to heading	Plant height	Flag leaf area	Spike length	Grain weight spike ⁻¹	1000 grain weight	Grain yield plant ⁻¹	Biological yield plant ⁻¹	Harvest index (%)
Replications	2	0.51	4.64	1.73	0.32	0.001	1.31	0.04	1.30	10.04
Genotypes	10	18.51**	52.42**	32.44**	0.98**	0.07**	25.93**	4.13**	20.87**	125.90**
Error	20	1.21	1.84	0.86	0.20	0.01	1.66	0.56	1.12	14.65
CV (%)		0.95	1.67	3.56	4.54	5.54	3.24	8.29	5.13	8.65
R ² value		0.89	0.94	0.95	0.73	0.75	0.89	0.79	0.90	0.81

*, **= statistically significant at the 1% and 5% probability level, respectively.

Df: degree of freedom, CV: coefficient of variation, R: coefficient of determination.

Table 2. Mean values of the lines.

F4 wheat lines	Days to heading	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf	Spike	Grain weight	1000 grain	Grain yield	Biological	Harvest
			area	length	spike ⁻¹	weight	plant ⁻¹	yield plant ⁻¹	index
			(cm ²)	(cm)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(%)
Barsat×Siren	114	80.8	24.3	9.5	1.8	37.8	9.5	19.7	48
Tatara×Siren	112	80.0	21.4	9.4	1.9	38.4	8.3	18.3	45
Tatara×Janbaz	114	81.2	27.0	8.7	1.8	37.5	8.1	22.0	37
Barsat×Janbaz	115	78.7	30.7	9.3	1.9	36.7	9.1	23.1	39
AUP-5008×Siren	115	80.2	23.0	10.3	2.0	41.2	8.0	22.0	37
Salim-2000×Siren	115	72.2	24.0	9.4	1.9	39.8	9.0	20.5	44
Salim-2000×Janbaz	114	78.9	25.1	9.4	1.9	38.6	8.7	20.9	42
AUP-5008×Janbaz	114	89.1	31.0	10.3	1.7	38.0	10.3	18.3	56
Tatara×PS-2005	121	78.4	22.8	10.2	2.1	37.1	10.3	26.3	39
AUP-5008×PS-2005	115	84.0	27.8	9.6	2.3	45.1	11.3	21.0	54
Tatara (Check)	118	79.4	29.0	10.6	2.0	44.5	7.7	17.0	45
Genotypes Mean	115	80.7	26.0	9.8	1.9	39.8	9.1	20.7	44
Range	112-121	72.2-89.1	21.4-30.1	8.7-10.6	1.7-2.3	36.7-45.1	7.7-11.3	17.0-26.3	37-56
LSD _(0.05)	2.00	2.47	1.69	0.81	0.20	2.35	1.36	1.93	6.96

LSD(0.05) : Least significant differences at the 5% level of probability.

Parameters	Vg	Vp	Ve	H(b.s)	GA	GA(x̄)
Days to heading	5.77	6.97	1.21	0.83	4.50	3.90
Plant height	16.86	18.70	1.84	0.90	8.03	9.95
Flag leaf area	10.53	11.39	0.86	0.92	6.43	24.72
Spike length	0.26	0.46	0.20	0.57	0.79	8.13
Grain weight spike ⁻¹	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.62	0.22	11.54
1000 grain weight	8.09	9.75	1.66	0.83	5.33	13.41
Grain yield plant ⁻¹	1.19	1.75	0.56	0.68	1.85	20.45
Biological yield plant ⁻¹	6.58	7.70	1.12	0.85	4.89	23.64
Harvest index (%)	37.08	51.73	14.65	0.72	10.62	24.00

Table 3. Heritability and genetic advance values of the lines.

Vg: genotypic variance, Vp: phenotypic variance, Ve: environmental variance, H(b.s): broad sense heritability, GA: genetic advance, $GA(\bar{x})$: genetic advance at percentage of mean.

CV value was 1.67% and the R² value was 0.94. Among the F₄ wheat lines the plant height ranged from 72.2 to 89.1 cm. mean data revealed that the plant height ranged from 72.2 to 89.1 cm. Salim-2000×Siren had the minimum (72.2 cm) plant height, while AUP-5008×Janbaz had the maximum (89.1 cm) plant height (Table 2). Rahman et. al., (2016 b) also reported similar results in bread wheat. Genetic variance was 9.2 times greater than environmental variance which means that the plant height is genetically controlled and resulted in high heritability (0.90) and moderate genetic advance (10.0 %). (Table 3). The plant height expressed significant genotypic and phenotypic association with flag leaf area (rG = 0.45^{**} and rP = 0.42^{*}), spike length $(rG = 0.33^* \text{ and } rP = 0.20)$ and harvest index $(rG = 0.56^{**})$ and $rP = 0.49^{**}$) whereas, non-significant association with rest of traits (Table 4). Our study conforms the findings of (Jamali and Jamali, 2008) who also come up with positive genotypic and phenotypic association of the plant height with spike length.

Flag leaf area (cm²): A significant difference ($P \le 0.01$) was observed among F_4 wheat lines for flag leaf area (Table 1). CV value was 3.56% and the the R² value was 0.95. Means ranged from 21.4-30.0 cm². Tatara×Siren showed minimum value (21.4 cm²) for the flag leaf area while AUP-5008×Janbaz showed maximum value (30.0 cm²) for the flag leaf area (Table 2). Our findings are in correspondence with Ali *et al.* (2012) who, also noted significant differences in bread wheat for the flag leaf area. The high magnitude of heritability (0.92) and genetic advance (24.72%) were observed for the flag leaf area (Table 3). High coefficient of heritability and genetic

advance indicated that the flag leaf area is genetically controlled and hence selection was effective. The flag leaf area revealed a significant and positive association with harvest index ($rG = 0.41^{**}$) only at genotypic level. While with remaining traits it had non-significant genotypic and phenotypic association. (Table 4). Our findings are in line with Munir *et. al.* (2007), who also reported positive genotypic and phenotypic relationship between the flag leaf area and harvest index.

Spike length (cm): Mean squares showed significant differences (P \leq 0.01) among F₄ wheat lines for the spike length (Table 1). CV value was 4.54% and the R² value was 0.73. Means ranged from 8.7 to 10.6 cm for the spike length among F_4 wheat lines. Minimum (8.7 cm) the spike length was initiated for Tatara×Janbaz while maximum (10.6 cm) spike length was noted for the check (Tatara) (Table 2). Mangi et al. (2007) also reported significant difference for the spike length in F4 wheat population. Moderate heritability (0.57) and low genetic advance (8.13%) was observed for spike length (Table 3). Our finding are in favor of Ali et al. (2012) who stated that moderate heritability indicates environmental influence while low genetic advance indicates that selection is ineffective. The spike length revealed positive genotypic and phenotypic association with 1000 grain weight (rG = 0.55^{**} and rP = 0.31^{NS}). On other hand, the spike length had non-significant positive genotypic and phenotypic association with all the traits except biological yield plant-1 which showed nonsignificant negative association with the spike length (Table 4). Our findings are in close correspondence with Khan et al. (2015).

Troite	Days to	Plant	Flag leaf	Spike	Grain weight	1000 grain	Grain yield	Biological yield	Harvest
ITAILS	heading	height	area	length	spike-1	weight	plant ⁻¹	plant ⁻¹	index (%)
Days to heading		-0.20	-0.03	0.62**	0.59**	0.12	0.19	0.55**	-0.30
Plant height	-0.18		0.45**	0.33*	-0.18	0.10	0.30	-0.32	0.56**
Flag leaf area	0.02	0.42*		0.08	-0.12	0.11	0.25	-0.20	0.41*
Spike length	0.37*	0.20	0.01		0.22	0.55**	0.01	-0.26	0.26
Grain weight spike-1	0.40*	-0.11	-0.12	0.26		0.77**	0.28	0.39*	-0.14
1000 grain weight	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.31	0.49**		0.02	-0.44**	0.33*
Grain yield plant ⁻¹	0.07	0.26	0.18	0.07	0.22	0.07		0.34*	0.58**
Biological yield plant ⁻¹	0.42*	-0.29	-0.18	-0.18	0.29	-0.40*	0.33*		-0.56**
Harvest index (%)	-0.26	0.49**	0.31	0.10	-0.07	0.21	0.66**	-0.48**	

Table 4. Genotypic (rG) and phenotypic (rP) correlation among the lines.

1000-grain weight (g): F₄ wheat lines revealed significant differences ($P \le 0.01$) for the 1000 grain weight (Table 1). CV value for the 1000 grain weight was 3.24% and the R² value was 0.89. mean values of the 1000 grain weight ranged from 36.7 to 45.1 g. The minimum (36.7 g) 1000-grain weight was recorded for Barsat×Janbaz, while the maximum (45.1 g) 1000-grain weight was noted for AUP-5008 x PS-2005 (Table 2). Heritability and genetic advance calculated for the 1000 grain weight was 0.83 and 13.8% respectively (Table 3). Ali et al. (2012) also reported the high value of heritability and moderate genetic advancement in wheat genotypes. And said that this trait is under greater genetic control and the selection was effective. Thousand grain weight expressed significant positive genotypic association with

the spike length (rG = 0.55^{**}), grain weight spike⁻¹ (rG = 0.77^{**}) and harvest index (rG = 0.33^{*}). Similarly, the 1000 grain weight showed significant and positive phenotypic association with the grain weight spike⁻¹ (rP = 0.49^{**}). While with remaining traits it had non-significant genotypic and phenotypic association (Table 4). Our findings are in an argument with Yousif *et al.* (2015) who also showed a positive association of 1000 grain weight with the grains weight spike⁻¹ and grain yield.

Grain weight spike⁻¹ **(g):** Mean squares showed significant difference among the F_4 wheat lines for the grain weight spike⁻¹ (Table 1). CV value was 5.54% and coefficient of determination was 0.75. Means of grain weight spike⁻¹ ranged from 1.7 to 2.3 g. Minimum value (1.7 g) for the grain weight spike⁻¹ was recorded for AUP-5008×Janbaz, while

maximum value (2.3 g) was recorded for AUP-5008×PS-2005 (Table 2). High heritability (0.62) and moderate genetic advance (11.54%) was recorded for the grain weight spike⁻¹ (Table 3). Our findings are in contrast to Farshadfar and Estehghari (2014) who noted significant differences for the grain weight spike⁻¹ along with moderate broad sense heritability among the wheat lines. The grain weight spike⁻¹ had significant and positive association with the 1000grain weight (rG = 0.77^{**}) biological yield plant⁻¹ $(rG = 0.39^*)$, similarly it exhibited significant and positive phenotypic association with the thousand grain weight (rP = 0.49^{**}). Whereas, with rest of parameters it had non-significant association at both genotypic and phenotypic level (Table 4). These findings are in agreement with results of Munir et al. (2007).

Grain yield plant⁻¹ (g): F₄ wheat lines exhibited significant differences (P \leq 0.01) for the grain yield plant⁻¹ (Table 1). CV value was 8.29% and the R^2 value was 0.79. Means ranged from 7.7 to 11.3 g. Tatara had the minimum (7.7 g) grain yield plant⁻¹ while AUP-5008×PS-2005 produced maximum (11.3 g) grain yield plant⁻¹ (Table 2). Ajmal et al. (2009) also observed a significant difference in F₄ wheat population. Heritability and expected genetic advance for the grain yield plant⁻¹ was 0.68 and 20.45% respectively (Table 3). These results are in accordance with Kaleemullah et al. (2015). Who said that this trait are greatly under genetic controlled and less influenced by environment. The grain yield plant⁻¹ had significant and positive genotypic and phenotypic association with biological yield plant⁻¹ (rG = 0.34^* and rP = 0.33^*) and harvest index (rG = 0.58^{**} and $rP = 0.66^{**}$). While with remaining traits it had nonsignificant genotypic and phenotypic association (Table 4). Our findings are in agreement with those of Yousif et al. (2015) who also noted positive relationships of grain yield with harvest index and biological yield.

Biological yield plant⁻¹ (g): Significant (P≤0.01) differences were revealed among F₄ lines for the biological yield plant⁻¹ (Table 1). CV and R² values for the biological yield plant⁻¹ were 5.13% and 0.90 respectively. Among the wheat, genotypes check (Tatara) produce the minimum (17.0 g) biological yield plant⁻¹ whereas, the maximum (26.3 g) biological yield plant⁻¹ was produced by Tatara×PS-2005 (Table 2). High heritability (0.85) and genetic advance (23.64%) was recorded for the biological yield plant⁻¹ indicated that this trait are under additive gene affect (Table 3). The biological yield plant⁻¹ revealed significant genotypic and phenotypic association with days to heading (rG = 0.55^{**} and rP = 0.42^*), the grain weight spike⁻¹ (rG = 0.39^* and $rP = 0.29^{NS}$), the 1000 grain weight ($rG = -0.44^{**}$ and rP =-0.40*) and the grain yield plant⁻¹ (rG = 0.34^* and rP = 0.33*). While the non-significant association with rest of traits studied (Table 4). Joti et al. (2012) also observed high heritability and genetic gain among genotypes along with the positive association of the biological yield with the days to heading and the grain yield.

Harvest Index (%): Mean squares expressed a significant difference ($P \le 0.01$) among the F_4 lines for harvest index (Table 1). Our findings are in contrast with Khan *et al.* (2015) who come up with no difference in used wheat genotypes. For the harvest index coefficient

of variation was 8.84% and coefficient of determination was 0.81. Tatara×Janbaz and AUP-5008×Siren had a minimum (37%) the harvest index, while AUP-5008×Janbaz had maximum (56%) the harvest index (Table 2). The harvest index expressed high heritability (0.72) coupled with high genetic advance (24.0%) (Table 3). Ali et al. (2012) also come up with high heritability and genetic advance. It was expressed significant genetic association with the plant height (rG = 0.56^{**}), the flag leaf area (rG = 0.41^*), the 1000 grain weight (rG = 0.33^*), the grain yield plant⁻¹ (rG = 0.58^{**}) and biological yield plant⁻¹ (rG = -0.56^{**}). Similarly, the harvest index exhibited significant association with the plant height $(rP = 0.49^{**})$, the grain yield plant⁻¹ $(rP = 0.66^{**})$ and the biological yield plant⁻¹ (rP = -0.48^{**}). While with remaining parameters it had a non-significant association (Table 3). Ahmad et al. (2010) conveyed positive correlation between the harvest index and biological yield in contrast to our results.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Significant variability was noted among F₄ wheat lines for all the traits studied indicating the need for effective selection. High heritability shows that there is a comparatively less environmental influence and the selection was efficient for the traits studied High heritability also indicates that the traits are genetically controlled and single plant selection could be started in F5 generation. The grain yield, the biological yield and the flag leaf area agronomic traits had high expected genetic advance which showed the effectiveness of selection in F₄ wheat lines. One the other hand, the grain yield plant⁻¹ had shown positive genotypic and phenotypic association with all the investigated traits therefore mainly this trait, and other examined traits could be used safely for yield improvement in the future wheat breeding program(s). The present study showed that significant differences in F₄ genotypes indicate the presence of greater genetic variability. High heritability indicates that the studied traits are under genetic control and single plant selection could be started in F₅ generation. The strong correlation of grain yield plant⁻¹ with the mentioned traits showed that grain yield could be indirectly improved by improving these traits.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, B., I. H. Khalil, M. Iqbal and H.R. Rehman. 2010. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation among yield components in bread wheat under normal and late plantings. Sarhad J. Agric. 26(2): 259-265.

- Ajmal, S.U., N. Zahid, M.Y. Mujahid. 2009. Estimation of Genetic Parameters and Character Association in Wheat. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 1(1): 15-18.
- Ali, I. H. and E. F. Shakor, 2012. Heritability, variability, genetic correlation and path analysis for quantitative traits in durum and bread wheat under dry farming conditions. Mesoptamia J. of Agri. 40(4): 27-39.
- Ali. S., S. M. A. Shah, A. Hassnain, Z. Shah and I. Munir. 2007. Genotypic variation for yield and morphological traits in wheat, Sarhad J. Agric. 23(4):943-946.
- Allard, R. W. 1960. Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York. Page # 97-101
- FAO. 2014. FAOstat. Retrieved Feb, 2014.
- Farshadfar, E., M. R. Estehghari. 2014. Estimation of genetic architecture for agro morphological characters in common wheat. Int. J. Biosci. 5(6): 140-147.
- Inamullah, A. S., 2006. Correlation and regression studies in wheat. J. Maharastra Agric. Uni. 14 (l): 84-85.
- Jamali, R. and K.D. Jamali, 2008. Correlation and regression studies in semi dwarf wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Proceedings of the 11 Int'l Wheat Genetics Symposium, Brisbane Australia, pp: 675-677.
- Jatoi, W. A., M. J. Baloch, M. B. Kumbhar and M. I. Keerio. 2012. Heritability and correlation studies of morpho-physiological traits for drought tolerance in spring wheat. Pak. J. Agri. 28 (2): 100-114.
- Kaleemullah, I. H. Khalil, F. Subhan, F. Rabi, N. Bostan, S. Qureshi and W. Ahmad. 2015. Heritability, selection response and correlation for yield and yield components in irrigated wheat. ARPN J. Agric. & Biosci. 10(6): 217-225.
- Kalimullah, S. J. Khan, M. Irfaq, and H. U. Rahman. 2012. Genetic variability, correlation and diversity study in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. J. Animal & Plant Sci. 22(2): 330-333.
- Khan, W. U., F. Mohammad, F. Ullah Khan, F.Z. Zafar andG. Ghuttai. 2015. Correlation Studies amongProductions Traits in Bread Wheat under Rainfed

Conditions. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci. 15 (10): 2059-2063.

- Larik, R. S., A. B. Joshi. 2004. Correlation, path coefficients and the implication of discriminate function for selection in wheat. Journal of plant Breeding 25: 383-392.
- Mangi, S. A., M. A. Sial, B. A. Ansari and M. A. Arian. 2007. Study of genetic parameters in segregating populations of spring wheat. Pak. J. Bot. 39(7): 2407-2413.
- Mohtasham, M., S. Peyman, K. Rahmatollah and S.M. Kazem, 2012. Relationships between grain yield and yield components in bread wheat under different water availability (dryland and supplemental irrigation conditions). Not. Bot. Horti. Agrobo., 40(1): 195-200.
- Munir, M., M. S. Chowder and T. A. Malik, 2007. Correlation Studies among Yield and its Components in Bread Wheat under Drought Conditions. Int. J. Agri. Biol. 9(2): 287–290.
- Pakistan Agriculture Research Council (PAR). 2016. Importance of Wheat in Pakistan. http://edu.par.com.pk/wiki/wheat/#wheat-cropoverview. Accessed on 28 March 2016.
- Rahman, M. A., M. A. Rahman, M. L. Kabir, M. Hasanuzzaman, M. A. Rahman, R. H. Rumi and M. T. Afrose. 2016 a. Study of variability in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), Int. J. Agri. Agri. R. 8(5): 66-76.
- Rahman, M., N.C.D. Barma, B.K. Biswas, A.A. Khan and J. Rahman. 2016 b. Study on morpho-physiological traits in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under rainfed conditions. Bangladesh J. Agric. Res. 41(2): 235-250.
- Singh, R. K. and B. D. Chaudhary. 1979. Biometrical methods in quantative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana. Page # 71-73.
- Steel, R.G.D and J. H. Torrie. 1980.Principles and procedures of statistics, a biological approach, 2nd edition. McGraw Hill, Inc. New York, Toronto, London. Page # 252-254.
- Yousif, S. A., H. Jasim, A.R. Abas and D. P. Yousef. 2015. Some Yield Parameters of Wheat Genotypes. Intl. J. Bio. Biomol. Agric. food and Biotech. Engg. 9(3): 323-326.