

Available Online at ESci Journals

# **International Journal of Entomological Research**

ISSN: 2310-3906 (Online), 2310-5119 (Print) http://www.escijournals.net/IJER

## PYRETHROID AND ORGANOPHOSPHATE SUSCEPTIBILITY STATUS OF AEDES AEGYPTI (LINNAEUS) AND AEDES ALBOPICTUS (SKUSE) IN PENANG, MALAYSIA

<sup>a</sup>Hadura Abu Hasan\*, <sup>a</sup>Zairi Jaal, <sup>b</sup>Hilary Ranson, <sup>b</sup>Philip McCall

<sup>a</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia. <sup>b</sup> Vector Department, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom.

## ABSTRACT

Dengue is a serious problem in Malaysia, particularly in high-density urban communities. Many methods are used for mosquito control but the most important procedure usually includes chemical insecticides. Dengue control relies on insecticides to control the vectors, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*. Using standard World Health Organization (WHO) adult bioassay tests, this study evaluated the susceptibility to lambda-cyhalothrin and pirimiphos-methyl of local populations of both species in a traditional community at Bagan Dalam, Penang, Malaysia. Unfed female mosquitoes aged 3-5 days post-emergence were exposed to WHO recommended dosages of insecticides over fixed time periods with results presented as knock-down time (KT<sub>50</sub>) for each strain. The insecticide susceptible Vector Control Research Unit (VCRU) laboratory strains of both species were used as controls. Both species from Bagan Dalam were highly resistant to lambda-cyhalothrin with less than 10% mortality recorded 24 hours after exposure. However, both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* from Bagan Dalam were susceptible to pirimiphos-methyl, showing 100% mortality recorded 24 hours after treatment. The results indicated that organophosphates such as pirimiphos-methyl might be used as an alternative to pyrethroid for dengue vector control in this highly dengue-prone area.

Keywords: Vector control, Ae. aegypti, Ae. albopictus, resistance, pyrethroid, organophosphate.

#### INTRODUCTION

Aedes mosquitoes correspond to a major mosquito borne diseases including dengue fever, chikungunya and yellow fever. These diseases collectively infect over 2.5 billion people live in areas where these diseases can be transmitted (WHO, 2008). Ae. aegypti is mostly found in tropic and subtropic regions such as South America, South East Asia and Africa (WHO, 2008) while Ae. albopictus was considered as endemic species in South East Asia but now has dramatically increased to temperate areas (Gratz, 2004). In Malaysia, control of the mosquito vector population currently depends heavily on insecticides (Chen et al., 2005a; Nazni et al., 2005; WHO, 2006). However, insecticide resistance is widespread and has been responsible for failures in control (Selvi et al., 2006) and routine surveillance of the susceptibility status of field mosquito population is

\* Corresponding Author:

Email: hadura@usm.my

© 2015 ESci Journals Publishing. All rights reserved.

essential in order to ensure effectiveness. Resistant populations of dengue vectors have been reported in Malaysia (Chen *et al.*, 2005a; Chen *et al.*, 2005b; Nazni *et al.*, 2005; Wan-Norafikah *et al.*, 2008; Wan-Norafikah *et al.*, 2010; Rong *et al.*, 2012; Low *et al.*, 2013) but there are no recent data on the insecticide susceptibility status of mosquitoes on the mainland of Penang. This study investigated two locally abundant mosquito species, *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* to determine their susceptibility status to lambda-cyhalothrin (pyrethroid) and pirimiphos-methyl (organophosphate) in Bagan Dalam, Penang.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study area:** The study was conducted in the area of Ujong Batu, Bagan Dalam which is located on the mainland of Penang state (05°23.289"N 100°22.397"E; altitude 11 m, November, 2011). The study site was an unregulated 'squatter' housing area mainly comprising small single or double-storied detached houses.

Mosquito strains: Eggs of Aedes mosquitoes were collected using ovitraps. The mosquitoes were reared to adults in an insectary (25°C ± 2°C with 80 ± 10% relative humidity). Standard ovitraps containing 200 ml of tap water were used to collect field strains of Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus. Two ovitraps were placed randomly in each of the 123 houses in the study area. Both ovitraps were placed outdoors, at about 1.2 metres above ground. Ovitraps were collected after 6 days from the houses. In insectary, the paddles that contained mosquito eggs were transferred into plastic containers and topped up with fresh water. Larval food (1 finely ground fish flakes: 1 brewers' yeast) were given daily until they pupated. The collected mosquito larvae were further reared until they emerged as adults, which were identified morphologically. Both species were supplied with white mice for blood-feeding to obtain eggs of the F1 progeny. These were provided with 10% sucrose solution and female mosquitoes (3 to 5 days old) from this or the next generation (F1 and F2) were used in the bioassays. Susceptible laboratory strains of Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus from Vector Control Research Unit (VCRU), Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) were used as controls in the bioassay tests.

Insecticides: WHO-impregnated papers were obtained from the VCRU, USM, Penang. Diagnostic concentrations have been widely used for monitoring insecticide resistance in mosquitoes and other disease vectors (WHO, 1992; WHO, 1998). WHO (1981a) has defined diagnostic concentration as double the lowest concentration that gave 100% mortality for a period of 60 minutes of exposure and 24 hours of recovery periods on a susceptible population. Diagnostic concentrations have been established for four insecticides namely pyrethroids, organophosphates, organochlorines and carbamates under standardized laboratory conditions (WHO, 1981a; WHO, 1981b). According to WHOPES (2007), Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus were tested against 0.03% lambdacyhalothrin (VCRU, USM, 2012) and 0.25% pirimiphosmethyl (VCRU, USM, 2012).

**WHO adult bioassays:** The bioassay tests were conducted according to the WHOPES tube bioassays protocol (WHO, 2013). Unfed female mosquitoes (3 to 5 days) were introduced into the control tubes (insecticide-free) and held for 20 minutes. After this pre-test period, they were transferred into the test tubes lined with a piece of WHO test paper (12 cm x

15 cm). After exposure to 0.03% lambda-cyhalothrin and 0.25% pirimiphos-methyl, female mosquitoes were left inside the holding chamber with sucrose solution provided, and maintained in a climatic room for 24 hours at 25°C  $\pm$  2°C and 80  $\pm$  10% RH. For pyrethroids, observation of the number of knockdown mosquitoes was recorded at regular intervals of 60 minutes. After completing the exposure period, the mortality rate after 24 hours was also recorded for each test. Five replicates were conducted for each test and control, and each replicate consisted of 20 mosquitoes.

**Data analysis:** Both mortality and knock-down rates were analysed by regression log time-probit, using statistical model IBM SPSS Statistic 20.0 version. For pyrethroid insecticide, the results obtained were presented as knock-down time (KT50). Based on KT50 values, resistance ratio (RR) was determined by the ratio of field strain to the ratio of susceptible laboratory strain. The mortality of mosquito samples were calculated by total up the number of dead mosquitoes across all five exposure replicates and the results were expressed as a percentage of the total number of exposed mosquitoes:

Observed Mortality = 
$$\frac{\text{Total No. of Dead Mosquitoes}}{\text{Total No. of Dead Mosquitoes}} X 100$$

Total Sample Size

If the control mortality is above 20%, the test should be discarded while if the control is below 5%, the test can be ignored and no correction is necessary. For the control tests, similar calculations were made in order to obtain a value for control mortality. If the tests are greater than 5% but less than 20%, the observed mortality have to be corrected using Abbots formula as follows:

$$\frac{(\% \text{ observed Mortality} - \% \text{ control Mortality})}{(100 - \% \text{ control Mortality})} \text{ X 100}$$

## RESULTS

The results of pyrethroid susceptibility tests are illustrated in Table 1 and 2. The control tests were conducted simultaneously and showed that laboratory strains of *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* remained completely susceptible throughout the study, with mortality ranging between 98 to 100% after 24 hours for both lambda-cyhalothrin and pirimiphos-methyl. *Ae. aegypti* from Bagan Dalam was highly resistant to lambda-cyhalothrin with 0% mortality, 24 hours after exposure to the diagnostic concentration. For *Ae. aegypti* Bagan Dalam, the values of KT<sub>50</sub> ranged from 22.11 to

Fable 1. Susceptibility status of <i>Ae. aegypti</i> to 0.03% lambda-cyhalothrin.									
Ae. aegypti									
Strain	Time	Mean % ± SD	Mean % ± SD KD	$VT_{\rm c}$ in min (050/ Cl)	RR50	Slope ± SE			
		24 h Mortality	after 1 h exposure	K150 III IIIII (95% CI)					
	Baseline	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	22.11 (21.38 - 22.77)	2.37	13.79 ± 1.27			
Bagan	1 month	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	23.51 (22.72 - 24.25)	1.90	11.69 ± 0.96			
Dalam	3 months	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	26.47 (24.42 - 28.37)	1.26	10.06 ± 0.76			
	6 months	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	30.49 (29.67 - 31.31)	1.34	$12.08 \pm 0.92$			
VCRU	Baseline	100 ± 0.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$	34.32 (32.34 - 36.21)	-	13.86 ± 0.92			
	1 month	$100 \pm 0.00^{(1)}$	$100 \pm 0.00$	36.64 (34.98 - 38.28)	-	14.09 ± 0.95			
	3 months	$100 \pm 0.00^{(1)}$	$100 \pm 0.00$	33.36 (31.64 - 35.00)	-	12.56 ± 0.84			
	6 months	$100 \pm 0.00^{(1)}$	$100 \pm 0.00$	33.43 (32.43 - 34.40)	-	9.73 ± 0.69			

30.49 in this species. Ae. albopictus Bagan Dalam strainmortality after 24 hours' exposure to the diagnosticalso was highly resistant to lambda-cyhalothrin with 0%concentration.Table 1. Susceptibility status of Ae. aegypti to 0.03% lambda-cyhalothrin.

(1): Susceptible, (2): Resistance suspected, (3): Resistant. Slope ± SE: Slope of regression line ± standard error. RR: Resistance ratio KT field strain/KT laboratory strain.

Table 2. Susceptibility status of *Ae. albopictus* to 0.03% lambda-cyhalothrin.

Ae. albopictus								
Strain	Time	Mean % ± SD	Mean % ± SD KD	$KT_{-1}$ in min (95% Cl)	RR50	Slope ± SE		
		24 h Mortality	after 1 h exposure	K150 III IIIII (95% CI)				
	Baseline	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	36.62 (35.39 - 37.85)	1.06	6.82 ± 0.39		
Bagan	1 month	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	32.92 (31.79 - 34.03)	0.91	$7.16 \pm 0.41$		
Dalam	3 months	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	32.64 (31.57 - 33.68)	0.93	$7.87 \pm 0.44$		
	6 months	0(3)	$100 \pm 0.00$	31.88 (30.79 - 32.94)	0.99	$7.52 \pm 0.43$		
VCRU	Baseline	100 ± 0.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$	34.68 (31.54 - 37.68)	-	9.22 ± 0.66		
	1 month	$100 \pm 0.00^{(1)}$	$100 \pm 0.00$	36.11 (33.76 - 38.52)	-	9.27 ± 0.67		
	3 months	$100 \pm 0.00^{(1)}$	$100 \pm 0.00$	35.25 (32.63 - 37.84)	-	$10.0 \pm 0.70$		
	6 months	$100 \pm 0.00^{(1)}$	$100 \pm 0.00$	32.10 (31.12 - 33.02)	-	$10.14 \pm 0.72$		

(1): Susceptible, (2): Resistance suspected, (3): Resistant. Slope ± SE: Slope of regression line ± standard error. RR: Resistance ratio KT field strain/KT laboratory strain.

Table 3. Susceptibility status of Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus to 0.25% pirimiphos-methyl.

Strain	Timo	Aedes aegypti	Aedes albopictus	
Stram	Time	Mean % ± SD 24 h Mortality	Mean % ± SD 24 h Mortality	
	Baseline	100 ± 0.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	
Bagan Dalam	1 month	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	
Dagan Dalam	3 months	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	
	6 months	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	
	Baseline	100 ± 0.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	100 ± 0.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	
VCDII	1 month	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	
VCRU	3 months	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	
	6 months	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	$100 \pm 0.00$ <sup>(1)</sup>	

(1): Susceptible, (2): Resistance suspected, (3): Resistant. The knockdown rate of both species after one hour exposed to lambda-cyhalothrin was 100% throughout the study. For *Ae. albopictus* Bagan Dalam, the  $KT_{50}$  values ranged from 31.88 to 36.62 throughout the study. Both  $KT_{50}$  values of *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* 

populations at Bagan Dalam were not significantly different to the susceptible VCRU strains (Kruskal-Wallis Test, P > 0.05). There were also not significantly different in KT<sub>50</sub> values across time point in both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* (Friedman Test, P > 0.05). For

organophosphate insecticide, bioassay results indicated that both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* from Bagan Dalam strain were susceptible to pirimiphos-methyl (Table 3). Both of these species showed 100% mortality after 24 hours' exposure to this insecticide.

#### DISCUSSION

Both Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus samples collected from Bagan Dalam were resistant to lambda-cyhalothrin. Information provided by the local Health Department at Seberang Perai Utara, indicated that pyrethroid insecticides have been widely used as mosquito adulticides for more than 10 years in this study area, as is the case for mosquito vector control throughout Malaysia. Following earlier reports on pyrethroid resistance in Malaysia by Chan et al. (2011), this study revealed that Ae. albopictus has now developed resistance to 0.2% deltamethrin and 0.7% permethrin in two dengue hotspots in Penang Island. Pyrethroid resistance is also known to be widely developed in both Aedes species in other at-risk dengue areas in Peninsular and East Malaysia (Nazni et al., 2005; Wan-Norafikah et al., 2008; Wan-Norafikah et al., 2010; Rong et al., 2012; Low et al., 2013). Various mosquito control methods such as ultra-low volume (ULV) fogging, thermal fogging, surface residual spraying and numerous household insecticide products are widely used for the control of adult mosquitoes in Malaysia (Yap et al., 2000). It is likely that these have all combined to contribute to the now widespread pyrethroid resistance in Aedes species throughout the country (Rohani et al., 2001; Nazni et al., 2005). Pyrethroids' are neurotoxic to insects. The lethal effects of pyrethroids include the action on both peripheral and central neurons, while the knock-down effect possibly involves peripheral intoxication (Becker et al., 2010). In this study, the effect of lambdacyhalothrin was rapid, with 100% knock-down rate recorded for both Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus. However, 100% recovery was observed after 24 hours, demonstrating that Aedes mosquitoes in the study area were extremely resistant. Organophosphates such as pirimiphos-methyl produce toxic action at synapses by binding to and inhibiting acetylcholinesterase (AChE), leading to accumulation of acetylcholine, which interferes with the neuromuscular junction, generating rapid muscles twitching and killing the insects (Becker et al., 2010). This killing effect has been observed in Aedes mosquitoes in Bagan Dalam as the mortality rate after 24 hours was 100%. Indeed, both Aedes species were fully

susceptibility to pirimiphos-methyl. The results reported here suggest that the application of organophosphates as adulticide in this area could be rotated with pyrethroid during control programmes as this class of insecticide still remains largely effective against both *Aedes* species.

#### CONCLUSION

Insecticide resistance to a pyrethroid, lambdacyhalothrin was detected in two local mosquito populations in Bagan Dalam, Penang. Dengue vectors remained susceptible to the organophosphate, pirimiphos-methyl. Organophosphates such as this might be considered for use as an alternative to pyrethroid by local authorities for dengue vector control. **ACKNOWLEDGMENT** 

Many thanks to the team member of Vector Control Research Unit, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang.

#### REFERENCES

- Becker, N., M. Zgomba, C. Boase, C. Dahl, J. Lane and A. Kaiser. 2010. Mosquitoes and their control. Mosquitoes and their control. Springer. 577 pp.
- Chan, H. H., F. F. Mustafa and J. Zairi. 2011. Assessing the susceptibility status of *Aedes albopictus* on Penang Island using two different assays. Tropical Biomedicine. 28: 464-470.
- Chen, C. D., W. A. Nazni, H. L. Lee and M. Sofian-Azirun. 2005(a). Weekly variation on susceptibility status of *Aedes* mosquitoes against temephos in Selangor, Malaysia. Tropical biomedicine. 22(2): 195-206.
- Chen, C. D., W. A. Nazni, H. L. Lee and M. Sofian-Azirun. 2005(b). Susceptibility of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* to temephos in four study sites in Kuala Lumpur City Center and Selangor State, Malaysia. Tropical Biomedicine. 22(2): 207-216.
- Gratz, N. G. 2004. Critical review of the vector status of *Aedes albopictus*. Medical and Veterinary Entomology. 18(3): 215-227.
- Low, V. L., C. D. Chen, H. L. Lee, P. E. Lim, C. S. Leong and M. Sofian-Azirun. 2013. Current susceptibility status of Malaysian *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) against DDT, Propoxur, Malathion, and Permethrin. Journal of Medical Entomology. 50(1): 103-111.
- Nazni, W. A., H. L. Lee and A. H. Azahari. 2005. Adult and larval insecticide susceptibility status of *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) mosquitoes in Kuala Lumpur Malaysia. Tropical Biomedicine. 22(1): 63-68.

- Ranson, H., J. Burhani, N. Lumjuan and W. C. Black IV. 2010. Insecticide resistance in dengue vectors. TropIKA.net. 1(1).
- Rohani, A., Chu, W. L., Saadiyah, I., Lee, H. L., and Phang, S. M. 2001. Insecticide resistance status of *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti* collected from urban and rural areas in major towns of Malaysia. Tropical Biomedicine. 18(1): 29-39.
- Rong, L. S., A. T. Ann, N. W. Ahmad, L. H. Lim and M. S. Azirun. 2012. Insecticide susceptibility status of field-collected *Aedes* (Stegomyia) *aegypti* (L.) at a dengue endemic site in Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia. The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 43(1): 34-47.
- Selvi, S., M. A. Edah, W. A. Nazni, H. L. Lee, and A. H. Azahari. 2006. The development of resistance and susceptibility of Aedes aegypti larvae and adult mosquitoes against selection pressure to malathion, permethrin and temephos insecticides and its cross-resistance relationship against propoxur. Malaysian Journal of Science. 25(1): 1-13.
- Sugiura, M., Y. Horibe, H. Kawada and M. Takagi. 2008. Insect spiracle as the main penetration route of pyrethroids. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology. 91(3): 135-140.
- Wan-Norafikah, O., W. A. Nazni, H. L. Lee, C. C. Dhang, W.
  N. W. Mustapha, A. A. Hadi and M. Sofian-Azirun.
  2008. Detection of Permethrin Resistance in *Aedes albopictus* Skuse, Collected from Titiwangsa Zone, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Proceeding ASEAN Congress Tropical Medicine Parasitology. 3: 69-77.
- Wan-Norafikah, O., W. A. Nazni, H. L. Lee, P. Zainol-Ariffin and M. Sofian-Azirun. 2010. Permethrin resistance in *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus) collected

from Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology. 13(3): 175-182.

- WHO. 1981(a). Criteria and meaning of tests for determining the susceptibility or resistance of insects to insecticides. Geneva, World Health Organization.
- WHO. 1981(b). Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of adult mosquitoes to organochlorine, organophosphorous and carbamate insecticides. Establishment of the baseline. Geneva, World Health Organization.
- WHO. 1992. Vector resistance to pesticides: fifteenth report of the WHO Expert Committee on Vector Biology and Control. Geneva, World Health Organization.
- WHO. 1998. Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vectors, bio-efficacy and persistence of insecticides on treated surfaces. Geneva, World Health Organization.
- WHO. 2006. Guidelines for testing mosquito adulticides for indoor residual spraying and treatment of mosquito nets. Pesticide Evaluation Scheme. Geneva, World Health Organization.
- WHO. 2008. Dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever. Factsheet Nº 117, 2008.
- WHO. 2013. Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector mosquitoes.
- WHOPES. 2007. WHO recommended insecticides for indoor residual spraying against malaria vectors.
- Yap, H. H., Y. W. Lee and J. Zairi. 2000. Chemical control of mosquitoes. In F.S.P. Ng and H.S. Yong (eds.), Mosquitoes and Mosquito borne-diseases: Biology, Surveillance Control, Personal and Public Protection Measures. Academy of Sciences Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 197-210 pp.