

Available Online at ESci Journals International Journal of Entomological Research

ISSN: 2310-3906 (Online), 2310-5119 (Print) http://www.escijournals.net/IJER

IDENTIFICATION OF MOSQUITOCIDAL COMPOUNDS FROM THE LEAF EXTRACTS OF OCIMUM GRATISSIMUM (LAMINACEAE) AGAINST DENGUE AND CHIKUNGUNYA VECTOR AEDES AGYPTI (L.)

Tamilselvan Pratheeba, Onkali Prabhavathi, Ragunathan Yuvarajan, Natarajan Murugan, Devarajan Natarajan* Natural Drug Research Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, School of Biosciences, Periyar University, Salem – 636 011,

Tamil Nadu, India.

ABSTRACT

Dengue and Chikungunya are mosquito-borne disease, transmitted mainly by the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito. The control of mosquito larvae worldwide depends primarily on continued applications of synthetic insecticides. Repeated use of synthetic insecticides in agriculture and public health programs has caused multifarious problems, including toxic hazards to human and non-target organisms. Alternatively, extracts or essential oils from plants may be served as an alternative source of mosquito control agents. Hence, the present study chose various organic solvent extracts (Hexane, chloroform, acetone, ethyl acetate, methanol and water) of *Ocimum gratissimum* leaves for control of mosquito vectors. Results showed the chloroform extract has remarkable pupicidal and adulticidal activity and the values are LC_{50} 19.28mg/l and LC_{50} 16.08mg/l, respectively. Results of Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) fractions of chloroform extract indicate the presence of phenolic group of compounds. A total of 35 peaks was identified by Gas Chromatography and Mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) analysis, five as considered as major compounds, *i.e.*, Hentriaconate, Hepta 2-1 trimethyl, tetracosahexane, Hexamethyl, Benzopyran and Dihydro tetramethyl trimethyl acetate. The present study shows that *O. gratissimum* can act as an efficient toxic agent against mosquitoes. These results suggest that the leaf extracts of *O. gratissimum* have a potential to be used as an ideal eco-friendly approach for the control of mosquitoes.

Keywords: Ocimum gratissimum; Aedes agypti; mosquito control, GCMS.

INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes are major vectors for the transmission of several life-threatening diseases. Dengue and Chikungunya are mosquito-borne viral diseases and transmitted mainly by the Aedes aegypti mosquito. They cause an acute illness, including fever, headache, skin rash, and incapacitating arthralgia. The control of mosquito larvae worldwide depends primarily on continued applications of synthetic insecticides (organophosphates such as temphos, fenthion, and insect growth regulators such as diflubenzuron and methoprene). Repeated use of synthetic insecticides in agriculture and public health programs has caused multifarious problems, including insecticide resistance,

* Corresponding Author:

Email: natarajpu@gmail.com

© 2015 ESci Journals Publishing. All rights reserved.

environmental pollution, destabilization of the ecosystem, and toxic hazards to human and non-target organisms. Alternatively, extracts or essential oils from plants may be served as alternative sources of mosquito control agents, since they constitute a rich source of bioactive compounds that are biodegradable into less toxic products and are potentially suitable for use in the control of mosquito. Aedes aegypti (L.) is generally known as a vector for arbovirus responsible for dengue and Chikungunya fever, which are endemic to Southeast Asia, the Pacific island area, Africa, and the Americas (Chretien et al., 2007). To prevent the proliferation of mosquito borne diseases, the environment pollution and deficiency of public health, mosquito control is essential. Nowadays, the control of mosquitoes in particular stages (larval, pupa and adults) by using the synthetic chemicals has been an efficient way in the integrated

vector management. However, a major drawback with the use of chemical insecticides, they are non-selective and could be harmful to other organisms in the environment, cause effects on human health, nonbiodegradable nature and also expensive than bioactive substances. These problems, together with the growing incidence of insect resistance, have called attention to the need for novel insecticides, and for more detailed studies of naturally occurring insecticides. Plants may be a source of alternative agents for control of mosquitoes, because they contain rich bioactive chemicals. Mosquito repellent properties of plants were well known from ancient periods. Plant derived products have received increased attention from scientists and more than 2000 plant species are already known to have insecticidal properties and 344 plant species exhibited mosquitocidal activity (Shaallan et al., 2005 and Balandrin, 1985). Plant extracts/products are considered to be a potential alternative approach against various stages and species of mosquitoes, due to presence of ingredients/phytocompounds which can act as ovicidal, larvicidal, insect growth regulators, repellent, oviposition deterrent and fecundity and fertility reducer (Rajkumar and Jebanesan 2005). Ocimum gratissimum L. (Labiatae) is widely distributed in tropical and warm temperature regions. The plant is commonly used in folk medicine to treat different diseases, e.g. upper respiratory tract infections, headache, ophthalmic, diarrhea, skin diseases, pneumonia, and also as a treatment for cough, fever, and conjunctivitis (Corrêa 1932, Onajobi 1986). Previous study stated that the essential oils of O. gratissimum have potential antimicrobial activity (Janssen et al., 1989; Nwosu and Okafor, 1995; Nakaruma et al., 1999). The volatile oil of this plant contains mostly phenols, particularly thymol (Olivier 1960, Sainsbury and Sofowora 1971). Hence, the present study chose various organic solvents (Hexane, chloroform, acetone, ethyl acetate, methanol) and water extracts of Ocimum gratissimum for control of mosquito vector A. aeavpti.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant source and Extraction: The fresh leaves of *O. gratissimum* were collected (during the month of November and December 2013) from Kalvarayan hills, Salem District, Tamilnadu, India. The plant was botanically identified by Dr. D. Natarajan, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Biotechnology, Periyar University,

Salem. The voucher specimen has been deposited in the Laboratory for further reference. The collected plant leaves were washed with tap-water to remove unnecessary solid dust particles and they were shadedried at room temperature. The dried plant material was powdered separately using commercial electrical blender. The processed plant materials (500g) were sequentially extracted by hot extraction methods in a soxhlet apparatus using various organic solvents (Hexane, chloroform, acetone, ethyl acetate and methanol) as well as water for 48 to 74 hours until the mixture solvent become colorless. The plant extracts were filtered through Whatman filter paper No. 1. Extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure at 40°C using rotary vacuum evaporator. The dried crude extracts were weighed for calculating their extractive value and stored in an air tight container at 4°C for further bioassays.

Mosquito source and maintenance: Aedes aegypti larvae, pupae and adults were collected from National Centre for Disease Control (NCDC), Connoor, Tamil Nadu, India. It was maintained in Natural Drug Research Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, Periyar University, Salem. The larvae were kept in plastic trays containing tap-water, and maintained at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C with 75-85% relative humidity under 14:10 hours light and dark. Larvae were fed with yeast. While adult mosquitoes, dog biscuits and sugar solution were used as feed.

Larvicidal bioassay: The larvicidal activity of plant crude extracts was tested against the larvae of *Aedes aegypti* as per method of WHOPES, (1981). Briefly, in a container, 25 fourth instar larvae was kept in 249 ml of distilled water with 1ml of different concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 mg/L) of plant extracts. The chamber containing the control larvae received 1ml of Dimethoxy sulfoxide DMSO served as negative control. After 24 hours exposures the dead larvae were counted and corrected by Abbott's formula (Abbott's, 1925) and the percentage mortality was recorded from the average of three replicates. The average mortality percentage of three replicates was used to carry out lethal concentration (LC₅₀, LC₉₀ and LC₉₉) by Probit analysis (Finney, 1971).

Pupicidal bioassay: The pupicidal activity of crude extracts against pupa of *A. aegypti* was evaluated as per the modified method of Kovendan et al., **(**2012**)**. For the bioassay, 25 pupae were kept in a container with 249 ml

of distilled water and 1 ml of extracts at different concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 mg/L) along with DMSO, which is served as negative control. All containers were maintained at room temperature (28±2°C) with naturally prevailing photoperiod (12:12h/L: D) in the laboratory. Any pupa was considered to be dead if did not move when probed repeatedly with a soft brush. After exposure period, the dead larvae were counted and mortality was corrected by Abbott's (1925) formula. The percentage mortality was recorded from the average of three replicates (Finney, 1971).

Adulticidal bioassay: About 3-7 days old 15 adult female mosquitoes of A. aegypti, were treated with different concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 mg/L) of plant crude extracts impregnated filter papers (WHO 1981). The mosquitoes were allowed to acclimatize in the holding tube for 1h and then exposed to test paper for 1h. Mortality was recorded every 10 minutes throughout the exposure period. Mortality of mosquitoes was determined at the end of 24 h recovery period. Percentage of mortality was corrected by using Abbott's formula. LC_{50} , LC_{90} and LC_{99} with 95 % confidence limits were determined using Probit analysis (Finney, 1971) and Chi-squared test was used to compare experimental and control groups, with a significance level established at P<0.05 calculated using the SPSS14.0 (Statistical Package of Social Sciences).

TLC profile: The promising plant extract (chloroform extract) showing larvicidal/publicidal/adulticidal activity was selected for the purification of bioactive principles using thin layer chromatography (TLC) and Gas Chromatography and Mass Spectroscopy Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy analysis. TLC was performed as per the modified method of Wojciech and Teresa, (2002). The glass plates were cleaned and dried in hot air oven. Silica powder was added to distilled water and mixed continuously using a magnetic stirrer. The slurry was poured into a clean dried slide and scattered all over the slide to make a thin film. The silica plates were activated by heating them in hot air oven at 120°C for 3 hrs. After 3h, the silica plates were allowed to cool at room temperature and marked about 1cm from the bottom. The extracts were loaded in the bottom center of the slide. A beaker was mixed with suitable solvent system (methanol: chloroform (7:3). The plate was kept in the beaker without touching the baseline of solvent and left for development. The final solvent front was marked and the plate was dried. The developed TLC plates were dried and visually observed for various bands. The retention factor (Rf) value was calculated as follows:

$Rf = \frac{The \ distance \ travelled \ by \ compund}{The \ distance \ travelled \ by \ the \ solvent}$

Few pieces of iodine crystals were kept in the iodine vapor container. The plates were kept in iodine vapor and left for a few hours. Brown colored bands were visualized. The bands were photographed under UV trans-illuminator (low beam and high beam UV light).

GC MS of Bioactive extracts: The chemical nature of selected extracts was analyzed by gas liquid chromatography (Polaris Q Ion Trap GC/FID) and mass spectrometry (Perkin Elmer Q-700 equipment) as per the protocol of Cheng et al. (2009). Column temperature was programmed at 35°C for 2 min, increased to 180°C at 4 °C/min, then increased to 280°C at 20 °C/min. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a 0.9 ml/min. The mass spectrum was obtained at a 70 eV ionization voltage. The identification of individual compounds was done using Wiley/ NBS Registry of mass spectral database (the NIST (version 3.0) database). Furthermore, the Retention Time (RT) and Kovats Index (KI) values of reference compounds were compared with isolated compounds for identification.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The different solvent extracts of O. gratissimum tested against 4th instar larva of *A. aegypti* exhibits broad spectrum of larvicidal activity. The ethyl acetate leaves extract of O. gratissimum showed highest activity with LC₅₀ value 24.57 mg/l. The moderate activity was observed in acetone extract with the LC₅₀ value of 28.70 mg/l. The least larvicidal property was obtained in water extract with LC₅₀ values of 52.56 mg/l. The entire process was noticed after 24hours of exposure (Table 1) of plant extracts to mosquito larvae. Results of pupicidal activity of O. gratissimum extracts reflect substantial activity against A. aegypti pupa. The chloroform extract showed the highest activity and LC₅₀ values of 19.28mg/l (Table 2). A moderate activity was seen in ethyl acetate extract with the LC₅₀ values of 21.07mg/l. Water extracts of O. gratissimum expressed minimum pupicidal activity (LC₅₀ values of 38.11mg/l). Different solvent extracts of O. gratissimum expressed potential adulticidal activity against the female adult mosquitoes of A. *aegypti*. Among the different solvents tested the chloroform leaf extract of *O. gratissimum* having a maximum adulticidal property with

the LC_{50} values of 16.08mg/l. The moderate activity was observed in acetone extract with the LC_{50} values of 19.16mg/l. Least adulticidal

activity was shown in hexane extract with LC_{50} values of 32.01mg/l. The overall LC50 and LC90 values are presented in (Table 3).

Table	 Larvicidal 	activity o	f O. gratissimu	<i>ım</i> against A.	aegypti.
				. 0	

Extracts	Concentration	% of mortality	LC ₅₀	LC ₉₀	LC ₉₀ LC ₉₉	Slope	Chi Squaro
Extracts	(mg/ml)	70 OF IIIOI tailty	(UCL-LCL) mg/l	(UCL-LCL) mg/l	(UCL-LCL) mg/l	Slope	Cill- Square
Hexane	100	35.52±3.84					
	200	48.84±6.66	39.86	82 19	215 39		
	300	68.82±3.84	(22 75 74 10)	(61 07 121 60)	(124 = 7, 401 = 0)	-4.60	2.85
	400	93.24±6.66	(32./3-/4.10)	(01.07-121.09)	(134.37-401.30)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Chloroform	100	33.3±3.84					
	200	53.28±6.66	37 21	74 90	263 70		
	300	79.92±6.66	(24.87, 70.21)	(50.82.04.16)	(18 65 462 76)	8.67	3.31
	400	91.24±3.84	(24.07-70.21)	(30.03-94.10)	(10.05-403.70)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Ethyl acetate	100	68.82±6.66					
	200	79.92±6.66	24 57	56.01	160.83		
	300	93.24±3.84	(10.22.22.40)	(10.22.22.40) $(44.27.70.69)$		6.02	4.47
	400	100.0 ± 0.00	(19.23-32.49)	(11.27 7 9.00)	(90.20-230.02)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Acetone	100	48.84±6.66					
	200	68.82±3.84	28 70	64 97	197.28 (135.72-376.91)	-10.11	
	300	91.02±7.69	(21.67.22.75)	(16 E0 99 17)			5.69
	400	100.0 ± 0.00	(21.07-33.73)	(40.39-00.17)			
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Methanol	100	22.2±6.66					
	200	53.28±3.89	30 38	96 77	367 49		
	300	75.48±3.84	(26.62.47.25)	(72 81/120 56)	(216 26 540 17)	-5.84	6.27
	400	93.24±7.69	(20.03-47.55)	(72.014139.30)	(210.20-349.17)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Water	100	13.32±6.66					
	200	26.64±3.84	52 56	192.69	368.09		
	300	46.62±7.81	(16 22 65 20)	(07.95.279.10)	(210 57 672 40)	-6.83	2.88
	400	75.48±6.66	(40.25-05.50)	(97.03-370.10)	(210.57-073.48)		
	500	91.02±3.84					

LC50 lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, LC90 lethal concentration that kills 90% of the exposed larvae, UCL upper confidence limit (95% fiducial limit), LCL lower confidence limit (95% fiducial limit).

Extracts	Concentration (mg/ml)	% of mortality	LC ₅₀ (UCL-LCL) mg/l	LC ₉₀ (UCL-LCL) mg/l	LC99 (UCL-LCL) mg/l	Slope	Chi- Square
Hexane	100	57.12±3.84			, ,		
	200	82.24±6.66	21.96	24 71	2962.11	-3.82	
	300	95.46±3.84	24.00 (17 20-29 38)	34.71 (12274 420 EQ)	(586.32-		4.38
	400	100.0 ± 0.00	(17.20-29.30)	(17.20-29.38) (123.74-429.38)			
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Chloroform	100	48.84±6.66					
	200	53.28±3.84	19.28	67.92	173.67		
	300	68.82±3.84	(15 40 26 47)	(48.04.04.50)	(80 12 228 0)	-8.61	2.49
	400	93.24±3.84	(13.40-20.47)	(40.94-94.30)	(09.13-230.0)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Ethyl acetate	100	68.82±3.84					
	200	75.48±6.66	21.07	487.26 2) (165.86-874.93)	2346.51 436.28-3664.37)	-11.81	
	300	95.46±3.84	$(1736_{-}24.82)$				4.42
	400	100.0 ± 0.00	(17.30-24.02)				
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Acetone	100	57.72±3.84					
	200	68.82±6.66	22.63	432.94 (116.24-804.79)	3014.37 (671.32- 4218.53)	-5.96	
	300	93.24±6.66	(18 94-26 12)				7.06
	400	100.0 ± 0.00	(10.74-20.12)				
	500	100.0±0.00					
Methanol	100	22.2±3.84					
	200	48.28±6.66	34.83	543 20	3678.14		
	300	77.7±6.66	(28 61-40 88)	(17 48-757 89)	(813.01-	-6.43	3.90
	400	95.46±6.66	(20.01-40.00)	(17.40-757.07)	8065.83)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Water	100	17.76±13.22					
	200	42.18±6.66	29.11	481.08	2207 48	-8.27	
	300	71.04±3.84	(29 77-49 28)	401.70 (172 52 040 507	3207.48 620.20-4647.77)		5.42
	400	93.24±3.84	(2).77-4).20)	(1/3.32-040.30/			
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					

Table 2. Pupicidal activity of *O. gratissimum* against *A. aegypti*.

LC50 lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, LC90 lethal concentration that kills 90% of the exposed larvae, UCL upper confidence limit (95% fiducial limit), LCL lower confidence limit (95% fiducial limit).

Extracts	Concentration (mg/ml)	% of mortality	LC ₅₀ (UCL-LCL) mg/l	LC ₉₀ (UCL-LCL) mg/l	LC99 (UCL-LCL) mg/l	Slope	Chi- Square
Hexane	100	22.0±1.02	, , ,				
	200	36.0±0.00	22.01	72.01	125.01		
	300	56.0±1.02	32.01 (11 72 42 18)	72.01 (60.29.91.12)	123.01 (115.02.125.11)	-11.61	3.82
	400	78.1±0.22	(11.72-42.10)	(09.30-01.12)	(113.03-133.11)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Chloroform	100	24.1±0.22					
	200	32.1±0.81	16.08	22.28	08 12		
	300	38.1±1.21	10.00	(20 78 40 21)	(92.21.109.21)	-8.613	1.85
	400	83.0±0.32	(11.72-20.10)	(29.70-40.31)	(03.21-100.21)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Ethyl Acetate	100	22.1±1.02					
	200	31.3±1.02	20.21	65.81	88.12 (79.03-93.48)	-7.21	
	300	42.0±0.00	(22,21,20,21)	(55.83-62.01)			7.03
	400	70.0±1.02	(23.21-30.31)				
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Acetone	100	22.0±0.00					
	200	28.0±0.00	1916	42.01 (37.08-51.16))1 79.11 51.16) (62.01-92.11)	-5.01	
	300	39.0±0.00	(10.08-38.11)				5.83
	400	68.5±1.22	(10.00-30.11)	(37.00-31.10)			
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Methanol	100	33.0±0.00					
	200	38.0±0.00	21 18	78 / 1	99.31		
	300	54.0±0.00	(26 78-46 21)	(69.83-82.41)	(89.24-125.01)	-3.82	1.49
	400	67.5±0.82	(20.70-40.21)	(07.05-02.41)	(0).24-123.01)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					
Water	100	33.0±0.00					
	200	35.0±0.00	20.41	72 / 1	112/1		
	300	43.0±0.00	(28 31-28 21)	/ 2.41 (60 24 00 11)	114.41 (102 <u>41-</u> 122 <i>1</i> 1)	-4.01	0.221
	400	65.0±1.20	(20.31-30.21)	(09.24-90.11)	(102.41-132.41)		
	500	100.0 ± 0.00					

Table 3. Adulticidal activity of *O. gratissimum* against *A. aegypti*.

LC50 lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, LC90 lethal concentration that kills 90% of the exposed larvae, UCL upper confidence limit (95 % fiducial limit), LCL lower confidence limit (95 % fiducial limit).

The TLC has been performed in the chloroform extract of O. gratissimum to analyze the band separation in the solvent system of Chloroform: Methanol (by the ratio of 9:1, 8:2 and 7:3). The 7:



3 ratios produced the clear band separation under UV and in the Iodine vapor saturated tank. The Rf values of the separated fractions were calculated. Fraction 1. Rf = 3.4/5.7 = 0.59649cm, 2. Rf =



4.8/5.5 = 0.87273 cm and 3. Rf = 3.8/5.6 =

0.67857cm. Based on the Rf values the fractions

were found to be as a phenolic group of

compounds (Figure 1 A & B).

Figure 1. Thin layer chromatography of chloroform extracts of *O. gratissimum*.

A. Spot identification of phenolic compounds in iodine vapour, B. Spots visualized under Low beam UV light 10% (methanol 10ml: chloroform 90ml), 20% (methanol 20ml: chloroform 80ml) and 30 % (methanol 30ml: chloroform 70ml).



Figure 2. Chromatogram of the components identified in the chloroform extracts of *O. gratissimum* by GC-MS.

The results of thin layer chromatography profile show different band formation (figure 1 A & B) based on the solvent systems (methanol and chloroform) percentage of 10%, 20% and 30%, which are denoted that the polarity of the solvent system and show reliable separation of fractions from the crude extracts. The Rf values are 0.596, 0.678 and 0.872cm respectively. The GC-MS

Table 4. GC-MS analysis of chloroform extracts of O. gratissimum.

chromatogram of chloroform extract of *O. gratissimum* (Figure 2) show 35 peaks indicate the presence of thirty three phytochemical constituents. The retention time of compounds identified in GC – MS was from 8.186 to 31.535. In comparison, of the mass spectra of the constituents with the NIST library, the abundant phytoconstituents with major peak were

characterized and identified. The five major compounds were identified from GC-MS analysis (Table 4). i.e Hentriaconate, Hepta 2-1 trimethyl, tetracosahexane, Hexamethyl, Benzopyran and Dihydro tetramethyl trimethyl acetate. The remaining compounds were identified as minor compounds.

S. No	Retention Time	Peak Area	Name of the Compound	Molecular Weight	Molecular Formula	Structure
1	8.186	10.980	Bicyclo[2.2.1]Heptan-2-One, 1,7,7- Trimethyl-, (1s)-	152	C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O	°
2	12.082	2.790	Caryophyllene	204	C ₁₅ H ₂₄	
3	12.212	2.041	1h-Cyclopropa[A]Naphthalene, 1a,2,3,5,6,7,7a,7b-Octahydro-1,1,7,7a- Tetramethyl-, [1ar-(1a.Alpha.,7.	204	C ₁₅ H ₂₄	
4	13.008	3.514	Naphthalene, Decahydro-4a-Methyl-1- Methylene-7-(1-Methylethenyl)-, [4ar- (4a.Alpha.,7.Alpha.,8	204	$C_{15}H_{24}$	
5	13.093	5.988	Azulene, 1,2,3,3a,4,5,6,7-Octahydro-1,4- Dimethyl-7-(1-Methylethenyl)-, [1r- (1.Alpha.,3a.Beta.,4.Alp	204	C ₁₅ H ₂₄	
6	13.283	0.659	1,4-Methanoazulene, Decahydro-4,8,8- Trimethyl-9-Methylene-, [1s- (1.Alpha.,3a.Beta.,4.Alpha.,8a.Bet	204	C ₁₅ H ₂₄	

7	13.353	1.687	Naphthalene, 1,2,4a,5,8,8a-Hexahydro- 4,7-Dimethyl-1-(1-Methylethyl)-, [1s- (1.Alpha.,4a.Beta.,8a.Alp	204	C15H24	
8	14.008	2.309	2r-Acetoxymethyl-1,3,3-Trimethyl-4t- (3-Methyl-2-Buten-1-Yl)-1t- Cyclohexanol	282	$C_{17}H_{30}O_3$	
9	14.128	0.677	Dihydro-CisAlphaCopaene-8-Ol	222	C ₁₅ H ₂₆ O	ОН
10	16.809	3.342	Z,Z-6,28-Heptatriactontadien-2-One	530	C ₃₇ H ₇₀ O	
11	17.269	0.782	Z,Z-6,28-Heptatriactontadien-2-One	530	C ₃₇ H ₇₀ O	L
12	18.240	1.954	L-(+)-Ascorbic Acid 2,6- Dihexadecanoate	652	$C_{38}H_{68}O_8$	man here a
13	18.380	1.761	1-Heptacosanol	396	$C_{27}H_{56}O$	
14	19.485	1.568	Phytol	296	$C_{20}H_{40}O$	
15	19.875	4.215	Methyl 8,11,14-Heptadecatrienoate	278	$C_{18}H_{30}O_2$	
16	20.005	2.762	Methyl 8,11,14-Heptadecatrienoate	278	$C_{18}H_{30}O_2$	
17	20.195	0.990	Chloroacetic Acid, Tetradecyl Ester	290	C ₁₆ H ₃₁ O2Cl	
18	20.375	1.067	Z,Z-6,28-Heptatriactontadien-2-One	530	C ₃₇ H ₇₀ O	
19	21.886	0.566	Chloroacetic Acid, Tetradecyl Ester	290	$C_{16}H_{31}O_2Cl$	J
20	23.037	1.037	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic Acid, Mono(2- Ethylhexyl) Ester	278	$C_{16}H_{22}O_4$	L
21	25.057		2,6,10,14,18,22-Tetracosahexaene, 2,6,10,15,19,23-Hexamethyl-, (All-E)-	410	$C_{30}H_{50}$	

Int. J. Entomol. Res. 03 (02) 2015. 67-79

22	25.638	 Hentriacontane	436	C ₃₁ H ₆₄	
23	26.313	 Hentriacontane	436	C ₃₁ H ₆₄	
25	27.068	 Hentriacontane	436	C ₃₁ H ₆₄	
26	27.403	 2h-1-Benzopyran-6-Ol, 3,4-Dihydro- 2,5,7,8-Tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12- Trimethyltridecyl)-, Acetate, [2r-[472	$C_{31}H_{52}O_3$	
27	27.924	 Hentriacontane	436	$C_{31}H_{64}$	
28	28.429	 5,7-Dihydroxy-3,6,8-Trimethoxyflavone	344	$C_{18}H_{16}O_7$	
29	28.684	 Stigmasterol	412	$C_{29}H_{48}O$	NO N
30	28.939	 Hentriacontane	436	$C_{31}H_{64}$	
31	29.334	 GammaSitosterol	414	$C_{29}H_{50}O$	
32	29.794	 Hentriacontane	436	C ₃₁ H ₆₄	
33	30.119	 Hentriacontane	436	$C_{31}H_{64}$	
34	30.475	 2r-Acetoxymethyl-1,3,3-Trimethyl-4t- (3-Methyl-2-Buten-1-Yl)-1t- Cyclohexanol	282	$C_{17}H_{30}O_3$	OH of
35	31.535	 Hentriacontane	436	$C_{31}H_{64}$	

DISCUSSIONS

Plants are store house of phytochemicals, which are widely used in the place of synthetic insecticides. The continuous use of synthetic insecticides causes side effects to non-target organisms and insecticide resistance against mosquitoes (Shaalan et al., 2005). The results of the present study show the potential mosquitocidal activities of O. gratissimum. The crude extracts of different solvents exhibit the potent larvicidal, pupicidal and adulticidal activities against A. aegypti. The results of present study highlights chloroform extracts having higher potential mortality rates against tested mosquito. After 24hrs, the least mortality was observed in the chloroform extract against the tested vector. The findings of the present study were positively correlated with the earlier reports of Mohamed Anees (2008), Amer and Mehlhorn (2006) and Eveline Solon et al., (2004) showed higher larvicidal, pupicidal and adulticidal activity of *O. sanctum* against *A.* aegypti. Veena Prajapati et al., (2005) reported the essential oils of Ocimum species having potential larvicidal, adulticidal, ovicidal, oviposition-deterrent and repellent activities against the A. aegypti vectors. Likewise, Senthil Kumar et al., (2009) reported adulticidal properties of certain medicinal plants (Lamiaceae) against malarial vectors, and Kalaivani et al., (2012) worked on larvicidal, adulticidal properties of Ocimum species against A. aegypti vectors, the extracts of O. basilicum showed potential insecticidal activity with least ppm values. Sosan et al., (2001) reported larvicidal activities of essential oils obtained from *O. gratissimum*, Cymbopogon citratus, and Ageratum conyzoides against Aedes aegypti as they achieved 100% mortality in 120, 200, and 300 ppm concentrations respectively. The varying results obtained in lethal concentration and lethal time are probably due to the differences in levels of toxicity among the insecticidal ingredients of each plant and the effect of plant extracts are varied with the time of collection and season (Sujatha et al., 1998). Thin Layer Chromatography techniques were performed for separation of bioactive compounds. Three different Rf values were observed in the chloroform extracts of O. gratissimum. Previous report suggested that the Rf values of some bioactive compounds from *O*. gratissimum, O. sanctum and O. canum were (0.513, 0.40, 0.58, 0.38 and 0.82) referred as Lutein Pheophytin Xanthophyll Oil Chlorophyll b and β - carotene, O. sanctum (0.445, 0.59, 0.74, 0.934) and O. gratissimum

21(0.431, 0.573, 0.78) 20 (Quereshi et al., 2011) and Eugenol and Methyleugenol from the petroleum ether extracts of O. sanctum (Nasare, 2013). The GC-MS results of chloroform extracts of O. gratissimum, reported 35 chemical peaks. Many reports stated the identification of the bioactive compounds using GCMS analysis in different species belonging to the Lamiaceae family i.e, Origanum dictamnus, Teucrium polium and Lavandula vera (Proestos et al., 2006) and Thymus comosus (Pavela et al., 2009). So far, several bioactive compounds were identified in the Ocimum species using chromatography and mass spectral analysis (Amvam Zollo et al., (1998); Eva Klimankova et al., (2008) and Bhanu Prakash et al., (2011); and Syeda Khair-ul-Bariyah 2013). The current study exhibits chloroform extracts of O. gratissimum was found better lethal (LC₅₀) effect against the Aedes vector and the extract having higher larvicidal, pupicidal and adulticidal activity. The outcome of present investigation is highlighting that mosquitoes control property is directly correlated with the increasing concentrations of the crude extracts. The higher concentrations of chloroform extract of plant exhibit maximum larvicidal, pupicidal, adulticidal activities. Further steps pertaining to the structural elucidation of bioactive compounds are in progress.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We gratefully acknowledged to Department of Biotechnology, Periyar University, Salem for providing good laboratory facilities to carry out this research work. We also thank to National Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) Coonoor, for supplying the mosquito's samples and VIT University for GC-MS analysis. Our sincere and heartfelt thanks are goes to TamilNadu State Council for Science and Technology (TNSCST) for their financial support (under Student Project Scheme (SPS, 2013-2014) to carry out this project work in great success.

REFERENCES

- Amer, A., H. Mehlhorn. 2006. Larvicidal effects of various essential oils against *Aedes, Anopheles,* and *Culex larvae* (Diptera, Culicidae) 99(4): 466-472.
- Amvam Zollo, P. H., L. Biyiti, F. Tchoumbougnang, C. Menut, G. Lamaty, P. Bouchet. 1998. Aromatic Plants of Tropical Central Africa. Part XXXII. Chemical Composition and Antifungal Activity of Thirteen Essential Oils from Aromatic Plants of Cameroon. Flavour and Fragrance Journal 13: 107 114.

- Balandrin, M. F. 1985. Natural Plant Chemicals: Sources of Industrial and Medicinal Materials. Science, 228: 1154-1160.
- Bhanu, P., R. Shukla, P. Singh, P. K. Mishra, N. K. Dubey, R.
 N. Kharwar. 2011. Efficacy of chemically characterized *Ocimum gratissimum* L. essential oil as an antioxidant and a safe plant based antimicrobial against fungal and aflatoxin B1 contamination of spices. Food Research International, 44: 385–390.
- Cheng, S. S., H. T. Chang, S. T. Chang, K. H. Tsai, W. J. Chen. 2003. Bioactivity of selected plant essential oils against the yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* larvae. Biores Technol, 89: 99-102.
- Chretien, J. P., A. Anyamba, S. A. Bedno, R. F. Breiman, R. Sang, K. Sergon, A. M. Powers, C. O. Onyango, J. Small. 2007. Drought-associated chikungunya emergence along coastal East Africa. Am J Trop Med Hyg, 76, 405 – 407.
- Corrêa M. P. 1932. *Dicionário das Plantas Úteis do Brasil,* IBDF, Ministério da Agricultura, Rio de Janeiro, 63.
- Eveline Solon Barreira Cavalcanti, Selene Maia de Morais, Michele Ashley A Lima, Eddie William Pinho Santana. Larvicidal Activity of Essential Oils from Brazilian Plants against *Aedes aegypti* L. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, 2004, 99(5): 541-544.
- Finney D. J. 1971. Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press, London, 68–78.
- Kalaivani, K., S. Senthilnathan and A. Ganesan. 2012.
 Biological activity of selected Lamiaceae and Zingiberaceae plant essential oils against the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* L. (Diptera: Culicidae), Parasitology Research, 3(110): 1261-1268.
- Khair-ul-Bariyah S. 2013. Comparison of the Physical Characteristics and GC/MS of the Essential Oils of *Ocimum basilicum* and *Ocimum sanctum*, International Journal of Scientific Research in Knowledge, 1(9): 363-372.
- Klimankova, E., K. Holadova, J. Hajslova, T. C. Ajka, J. Poustka, M. Koudela. 2008. Aroma profiles of five basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) cultivars grown under conventional and organic conditions. Food Chemistry 107: 464–472.
- Kovendan, K., K. Murugan, S. P. Shanthakumar and S.Vincent. 2012. Evaluation of larvicidal and pupicidal activity of *Morinda citrifolia* L. (Noni)

(Family: Rubiaceae) against three mosquito vectors. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease (2012) S362-S369.

- Mohamed A. A. 2008. Larvicidal activity of *Ocimum sanctum* Linn. (Labiatae) against *Aedes aegypti* (L.) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say), 103, (6): 1451-1453.
- Nakaruma C. V., T. U. Nakaruma, E. Bando, A. F. N. Melo, D. A. G. Cortez and B. P. D. Filho. 1999. Antibacterial activity of *Ocimum gratissimum* L.essential Oil. Mem. Inst. Oswardo Cruz. 94: 675-578.
- Nasare P. N. 2013. Qualitative and Quantitative analysis of Eugenol and Methyleugenol in *Ocimum sanctum* Linn, Online International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 3(3): 69-77.
- Nwosu M. O and J. J. Okafor. 1995. Preliminary studies of the antifungal activities of some medicinal plants against Basidiobulus and some pathogenic fungi. Mycoses 38: 191-195.
- Oliver B. 1960. *Medicinal Plants in Nigeria*, Nigerian College of Arts, Science and Technology, Nigeria, 42 pp.
- Onajobi F. D. 1986. Smooth muscle contracting lipidic soluble principle in chromatographic functions of *Ocimum gratissimum*. J. Ethnopharmacol. 18: 3-11.
- Pavela R. 2008. Larvicidal effects of various Euro-Asiatic plants against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say larvae (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res, 102:555–559.
- Prajapati V., A. K. Tripathi, K. K. Aggarwal, S. P. S. Khanuja. 2005. Insecticidal, repellent and oviposition deterrent activity of selected essential oils against *Anopheles stephensi, Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus.* Bioresource Technology, (96)16: 1749–1757.
- Proestos C, D. Sereli and M. Komaitis. 2006. Determination of phenolic compounds in aromatic plants by RP-HPLC and GC-MS, Food Chemistry, 95, 44–52.
- Quereshi S., P. Purwar, R. Singh, N. A. Khan, A. Mani and J. Patel. 2011. Studies on Essential Oils and DNA Extraction from *Ocimum* species, Journal of Phytology, 3(8): 23-27.
- Rajkumar, S. and A. Jebanesan. 2005. Repellency of volatile oils from *Moschosma polystachyum* and *Solanum xanthocarpum* against filarial vector *Culex Zuinque* fasciatus say. Tropical Biomedicine, 22(2): 139 – 142.

- Sainsbury M and E. A. Sofowora. 1971. Essential oil from the leaves and inflorescence of *Ocimum gratissimum. Phytochemistry* 10: 3309-3310.
- Senthilkumar N., P. Varma and G. Gurusubramanian. 2009. Larvicidal and adulticidal activities of some medicinal plants against the Malarial Vector, *Anopheles stephensi* (Liston) Parasitology Research, (104)2: 237-244.
- Shaalan, E., D. V. Canyon, M. Younes, A. Wahab and H. Mansour. 2005. A review of botanical phytochemicals with mosquitocidal potential. Environment International, 31: 1149-1166.
- Sosan M. B, F. B. Adewoyin and C. O. Adewunmi. 2001. Larvicidal properties of three indigenous plant oils on the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Niger J Natl Prod Med, 5: 30-33.

- Sujatha C. H., V. Vasuki, T. Mariappan, M. Kalyanasundaram and P. K. Das. 1988. Evaluation of plant extracts for biological activity against mosquitoes. Int Pest Control, 30:122–124.
- WHO, 1981. Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of mosquito larvae to insecticides. WHO/ VBC/81.807. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- WHOPES, 1981. Chemical Methods for the Control of Vectors and Pests of Public Health Importance, WHO/CTD/97.2, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Wojciech P and K. Teresa. 2002. Optimization of Thin Layer Chromatography, Encyclopedia of Chromatography: Taylor & Francis publication, 2002, pp 576-579.